# Research Article

# Effects of NPK Fertigation Onphysico-Chemical Attributes and Leaf Nutrient Status of *Citrus Reticulata* Blancocv. Kinnow

K. Karuna<sup>1</sup>\*, Abhay Mankar<sup>1</sup>, Vishal Nirgude<sup>2</sup>, V. B. Patel<sup>1</sup> and Rajni Sinha<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Horticulture (Fruit & Fruit Technology), Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bihar <sup>2</sup>Department of Horticulture, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand

### **Abstract**

In present investigation, studies were carried out with 7 different fertilizer levels and 3 replications in randomized block design to study the effect of fertigation on physico-chemical attributes and nutrient status in Citrus reticulata Blancocv. Kinnow. The treatments showed significant difference in respect to weight (184.33 g), length (63.51 mm), width (75.70 mm), juice percent (49.23 %), total soluble solids (10.21° Brix), ascorbic acid (50.29 mg/100g), total sugar (7.62%) and yield (32.07 t/ ha.) with higher dose of fertigationi.e.100% and 120% RDF. However, 100% RDF with drip irrigation was found significantly superior forleaf nitrogen (2.28 %) and zinc (29.30 ppm)with 100 % RDF without drip irrigation and manganese content (70.76 ppm) with 80 % RDFwhereas, iron (270.10 ppm) and boron content (45.67 ppm) was found higher with 60% RDF. Therefore, on the basis of result obtained it can be concluded that Kinnow is highly responsive to fertilizer application for yield, quality and leaf nutrient status. The fertilizer dose 120% RDF followed by 100% RDF appear to be the best at the age of 4 year, when applied in different split under high density planting condition.

**Keywords:** Citrus, Fertigation, Physico-chemical attributes and Leaf nutrient status

# \*Correspondence

Author: K. Karuna

Email: kkaruna10@gmail.com

## Introduction

Citrus fruits play an important role in the economic development of country and these fruits form the third largest fruit industry. In India, citrus is being cultivated from very ancient time. The fruits are cultivated in India in four different zones i.e. central India, southern India, north-western India and north-eastern India. These zones have different leading cultivars that occupy a place of prominence in the respective area. Among citrus fruits, only lime, lemon and pummelo are grown throughout the Bihar but large commercial establishments are not common [1]. Fertigation which facilitate irrigation along with fertilizers to the crop plant is well recognized as the most effective, economical and convenient means of maintaining optimum fertility and water supply according to the specific requirement and resulting in higher yields and better quality fruits [2]. Fertigation practices are one of the most efficient techniques for enhancing fertilizer use efficiency which results in reduction in the total dose of fertilizers and may also save some amount of costly fertilizers. The nutrition constitutes an important component of successful and healthy citrus cultivation. An adequate nutrition leads to the proper growth and increased productivity of the citrus trees. The technique also provides a way to enhance production and thereby productivity by improved fruit qualitative and quantitative attributes. Leaf chemical analysis is commonly used as a diagnostic criterion for nutrient recommendation and fertilization for citrus groves. Analysis of 4-6 month old spring flush of citrus trees for mineral nutrients provides valuable information for evaluating the nutritional status of the trees [3]. Citrus plants are more sensitive to the deficiency of certain elements than many other cultivated crops. Most of the farmers are less aware of fertilizers application and provide almost constant dose of fertilizer every year than the requirement of the plants, which ultimately affects the yield and quality of plant. Therefore, analysis of both soil and leaves for nutrient composition is a one of the better strategy to assess the fertilizer requirements of crop at different stage of growth. Also the information available on this aspect are scanty under Bihar condition, furthermore, Kinnow have shown good performance in scattered planting under Bihar conditions but there is lack of knowledge about its proper fertigation scheduling at different stages of growth and age of plant. Therefore, the main objective of this investigation was to standardize the dose of NPK fertigation on fruit physico-chemical and leaf nutrient status of Kinnow mandarin under high density orchard.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The field experiment was conducted during 2014-15 in high density orchard of the permanent experimental area of Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur. The four year old *Citrus reticulata* cv. Kinnow plants were planted under high density planting (HDP), at a spacing of  $3.0 \times 2.5$  meter apart. Four plants of Kinnow per treatment in triplicate grown according to randomized block design (RBD). The recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) for scatter kind of planting system in terms of N,  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$  was 300, 90 and 90 gram per plant per year at 4 years of age, respectively. To standardize optimum dose under high density orchard conditions, different treatments were taken into consideration. The treatments were  $T_1$ - 120% of RDF;  $T_2$ -100% RDF;  $T_3$ -80% RDF;  $T_4$ -60% RDF;  $T_5$ -40% RDF;  $T_6$ -100% RDF in basal with drip irrigation and  $T_7$ -100% RDF in basal without drip irrigation.

The physical parameters of fruit *viz*. weight of ten fully mature fruits were recorded by weighing the samples on an open pan balance. The total soluble solids (TSS) of samples were estimated using digital hand refractometer (Atago Co. Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). Total acidity, ascorbic acid and sugars content of fruit juice were estimated as per standard method [4]. For estimation of leaf nutrients the samples from each treatment at maturity stage (August-September) were harvested. The total nitrogen was determined by Kjeltec 2300 (Foss Tecator). The Phosphorus was estimated by vanado-molybdate colour reaction method. The Potassium content was determined by a microprocessor based flame photometer. Total micronutrients present in leaf was determined by known volume of digest with the help of atomic absorption spectrophotometer whereas, the boron content was analysed using standard method [5]. The parameters related to yield characters were calculated manually by frequently visiting the orchard.

The experimental data were subjected to statistical analysis in order to find out which of the treatments showed significant variation in different parameters studied under investigation. The technique of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for randomized block design was adopted [6].

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### Physico-chemical parameters and yield

Fruit quality attributes is claimed to be a function of both water and nutrient use efficiencies. The data pertaining to physico-chemical attributes under different treatments are presented in **Table 1**. The highest fruit weight (184.33 g) was recorded in the treatment T<sub>2</sub> followed by 181.83 g in T<sub>1</sub>while the minimum fruit weight (143.0 g) was recorded in T<sub>5</sub>. The maximum fruit length (65.28 mm) was recorded in the treatment T<sub>3</sub>followed by 63.51 mm in T<sub>7</sub> whereas; the minimum fruit length (56.25 mm) was recorded in T<sub>5</sub>. The maximum fruit width (75.70 mm) was noticed in T<sub>2</sub> which was statistically at par with T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>1</sub>, whereas, minimum fruit width (66.98 mm) was recorded in T<sub>5</sub>. The juice percent (49.23 %) was recorded maximum under T<sub>1</sub>, whereas the minimum juice percent (41.27 %) was recorded in T<sub>5</sub>. The choice of consumers usually depends upon the physical parameters of fruit. Presence of nitrogen, phosphate and potash has been observed to be essential for better action of all the nutrients and consequently causing the fruit to grow more in size and volume with increased juice content [7]. The TSS content was recorded maximum (10.21 °Brix) under the treatment T<sub>7</sub>, which was found at par with T<sub>1</sub> (9.64 °Brix) and minimum TSS content (8.52°Brix) was recorded in the treatment T<sub>5</sub>. The higher TSS in the fruits of treatment T<sub>7</sub>might be due to lesser number of fruits in this treatment which leads to more soluble solids synthesis [8]. The total titratable acidity was found in the range of 0.84-1.02% and the treatment  $T_7$  was found to be obtained with maximum acidity (1.02%), whereas, the minimum acidity (0.84%) was recorded under  $T_1$ , which might be because of strong link of potassium with the juice acidity i.e., potassium level affects the accumulation or degradation of acids in citrus fruit [9]. The highest (11.47) and lowest (9.47) TSS/titratable acidity was found in  $T_1$  and  $T_6$  treatments respectively. The reducing sugar content was recorded highest (3.77%) under treatment T<sub>1</sub> followed by 3.61% in T<sub>2</sub> and lowest reducing sugar (2.82%) was recorded in T<sub>4</sub>. Whereas, the total sugar content was recorded maximum (7.02%) under the treatment T<sub>1</sub>, which is at par with 6.96% in T<sub>2</sub> and the minimum total sugar content (5.49%) was recorded in T<sub>3</sub>. The occurrence of such results might be due to the fact that application of higher NPK fertilization results in higher sugars accumulation in fruits [10]. The maximum fruit yield of 32.07 t/ha. (24.62 kg/ plant) was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> and found significantly higher than rest of the treatments. Whereas, lowest yield i.e. 11.58 t/ha (8.69kg/ plant) was recorded in T<sub>7</sub>. The confirmatory finding in relation to physico-chemical attributes was also recorded in citrus fruit [2, 11-14].

## Leaf nutrient status

The effect of NPK fertigation with different treatments on leaf nutrient status and nutrient up-take was monitored with leaf analysis. The data under different treatments are presented in **Table 2**. The highest leaf nitrogen content (2.28 %)

was recorded in  $T_6$ followed by 2.26 % in  $T_2$ , whereas, the lowest nitrogen (1.79%) was noticed in  $T_5$ . The range of leaf phosphorus content varies from 0.12 to 0.14% and it was non - significant. There was statistically non-significant variation observed due to different fertigation level in relation to potassium content of leaf. Higher dose of nitrogen in  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ might be one of the reasons for high leaf nitrogen content because nitrogen is quite mobile element both in plant and soil and thus, supplied nutrients through fertigation, improved the physical properties of soil coupled with well-developed root system resulting into better absorption of water and nutrientsin grape, apple and mandarin respectively [15-17]. The fertigation treatment  $T_4$  recorded the highest concentration of micronutrients, Fe (270.10 ppm) and B (45.67 ppm) followed by  $T_6$  as compared to rest of the treatments. Whereas, the lowest leaf nutrient levelsof Fe(220.90 ppm) and B (39.00 ppm) were observed in  $T_6$  and  $T_3$  respectively. The highest content of leaf Zn (29.30 ppm) and Mn (70.76 ppm) was recorded under  $T_7$  and  $T_3$  respectively. The Cu content in leaves was found highest (8.12 ppm) under  $T_3$ . The possible reason behind an increased micro-nutrient concentration with higher dose of fertigation treatments may be ascribed as the effect of higher and frequent supply of NPK fertigation throughout the growing season resulted in maintaining the adequatemoisture and nutrient content in the soil [2, 13-14].

**Table 1** Effect of fertigation on physico-chemical and yield parameters of Citrus reticulata Blancocv. Kinnow

Treatments	Weight (g)	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Juice (%)	TSS ( <sup>0</sup> B)	Titratabl e acidity (%)	TSS/ TA ratio	Ascorbic acid (mg/ 100g)	Reducing sugar (%)	Total sugar (%)	Yield (kg/ plant)	Yield (t/ha.)
120 % RDF (T <sub>1</sub> )	181.83	63.51	74.22	49.23	9.64	0.84	11.47	50.29	3.77	7.32	24.62	32.07
100 % RDF (T <sub>2</sub> )	184.33	65.28	75.70	48.44	9.17	0.87	10.54	44.38	3.61	7.17	19.91	26.52
80 % RDF (T <sub>3</sub> )	168.00	62.88	69.40	47.41	9.34	1.09	8.57	47.33	3.08	7.03	15.57	20.74
60 % RDF (T <sub>4</sub> )	167.33	58.15	72.66	47.07	8.52	1.00	8.52	38.46	2.82	6.52	12.83	16.07
40 % RDF (T <sub>5</sub> )	143.00	56.25	66.98	41.27	8.89	0.85	10.45	35.50	3.56	6.89	9.63	12.82
100 % RDF with drip irrigation (T <sub>6</sub> )	172.17	57.99	70.42	44.18	9.47	0.86	11.01	46.33	3.16	7.26	10.44	16.19
100 % RDF without drip irrigation (T <sub>7</sub> )	166.00	61.07	73.16	42.11	10.21	0.89	11.47	44.38	3.54	7.62	8.69	11.58
C.D. at 5%	15.96	5.71	7.94	5.57	0.99	0.10	1.17	1.20	0.36	0.84	1.84	2.22
C.V. (%)	5.31	5.29	6.22	6.86	6.02	6.52	6.44	6.57	6.11	6.69	7.13	6.42

Table 2 Effect of fertigation on leaf nutrient status of Citrus reticulata Blancocv. Kinnow

Treatments	Nitrogen	Phosphorus	Potassium	Zn	Cu	Fe	Mn	В
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)
120 % RDF (T <sub>1</sub> )	1.81	0.14	0.95	33.67	5.66	206.03	76.67	45.67
100 % RDF (T <sub>2</sub> )	2.26	0.13	0.98	34.33	5.52	201.23	69.33	43.00
80 % RDF (T <sub>3</sub> )	1.83	0.14	0.96	36.67	8.12	195.20	63.67	39.00
60 % RDF (T <sub>4</sub> )	1.92	0.13	0.89	29.00	6.32	177.17	78.67	41.33
40 % RDF (T <sub>5</sub> )	1.79	0.13	0.88	33.33	5.03	167.40	39.67	42.33
100 % RDF with drip irrigation (T <sub>6</sub> )	2.28	0.12	0.99	42.00	7.10	204.03	83.33	43.67
100 % RDF without drip irrigation (T <sub>7</sub> )	1.85	0.12	0.95	34.33	6.04	156.03	81.33	40.67
C.D. at 5%	0.21	NS	NS	3.78	0.63	21.91	7.07	4.21
C.V. (%)	6.12	6.70	6.98	6.12	5.67	6.60	5.65	5.60

**Table 3** Native soil status of experimental orchard prior to treatments

Soil depth (cm)	0-15	15-30
pH (1:2.5)	7.28	7.16
EC (ds/m)	0.41	0.40
Organic C (%)	0.55	0.47
N (Kg/ ha)	215.00	199.35
$P_2O_5$ (Kg/ha)	29.15	26.50
$K_2O$ (Kg/ha)	155.35	125.68

#### Conclusion

The present study has revealed that fertigation with NPK results in proper uptake of nutrient from soil and hence resulted in increased physico-chemical and leaf nutrient parameters of Kinnow fruit tested under high density orchard condition. Hence, treatment T<sub>1</sub>*i.e.*, 120% RDF followed by 100% RDF is recommended for the qualitative and quantitative production of *Citrus reticulata* Blanco cv. Kinnowunder Sabour, Bihar, agro-climatic conditions.

#### References

- [1] Ray, P.K., 2008: Horticultural Production and Research in Bihar. RAU, Pusa, India.
- [2] Shirgure, P.S., Srivastava, A.K., Huchche, A.D., and Patil, P., 2016: Interactive effect of irrigation schedules and fertigation levels on fruit yield, quality and plant nutrition of Nagpur mandarin (Citrus reticulata). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 86, 1509-14.
- [3] Quaggio JA, Cantarella HB, and Van Raij, 1998. Phosphorus and potassium soil test and nitrogen leaf analysis as a basis for citrus fertilization. Nutrient Cycling in Agro ecosystems 52, 67-74.
- [4] Ranganna, S., 1986: Handbook of analysis and quality control for fruit and vegetable products, Tata Mc Graw-Hill Publishing Company, New Delhi.
- [5] Adhikari, T., Mondal, A.K., De, D.K., and Mandal, B., 1993: Influence of organic matter and lime application on boron availability in soils. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science, 63, 803-806.
- [6] Panse, V.G., and Sukhatme, P.V., 1967: Statistical methods for agricultural workers. ICAR New Delhi. 2nd Edn. pp-381.
- [7] Ashraf, M.Y., Gul, A., Ashraf, M., Hussain, F., and Ebert, G., 2010: Improvement in yield and quality of Kinnow (citrus deliciosa x citrus nobilis) by potassium fertilization. Journal of Plant Nutrition, 33, 1625-1637.
- [8] Kulkarni, N.H., 2004: Effect of growth regulators and micronutrients on fruit drop, yield and quality in sweet orange (Citrus sinensis Osbeck). Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Marathwada Agricultural University, Parbhani, India.
- [9] Berger, H., Opazo, J., Orellana, S., and L. Galletti., 1996: Potassium fertilizers and orange postharvest quality. Proceeding of the International Society of Citriculture, 2,759-761.
- [10] Dixit, C.K., Yamdagni, R., and Jindal, P.C., 1977: A note on the effect of micronutrients spray on quality of Kinnow A mandarin hybrid. Haryana Journal of Horticulture Science, 6, 153-154.
- [11] Monga, P.K., Vijay, V.K., and Sharma, J.N., 2004: Effect of N, P, and K on the yield and fruit quality of Kinnow mandarin. Indian Journal of Horticulture, 61, 302-304.
- [12] Nasreen, S., Ahmed, R., Ullah, M.A., and Hoque, M.A., 2013: Effect of N, P, K, and Mg application on yield and fruit quality of mandarin (Citrus reticulata). Bangladesh Journal of Agriculture Research, 38, 425-433.
- [13] Ramana, K.T.V., Lakshmi, L.M., Gopal, K., Krishna, V.N.P.S., Lakshmi, T.N., Sarada, G., Gopi, V., and Sankar, T.G., 2014: Nitrogen and Potassium Based Fertigation Response on Plant Growth, Yield and Quality of Kinnow (Citrus sinensis Linn. Osbeck) cv. Sathgudi. Research and Reviews: Journal of Agriculture and Allied Sciences. 3, 7-10.
- [14] Nirgude, V., Karuna, K., Kumar, V., and Maggidi, S., 2016: Optimization of fertigation doses vis-a-vis fruit qualities for growing Citrus sinensis Osbeck cv. Mosambi under high density orchard condition in India. Ecology Environment & Conservation, 22, 1987-1992.
- [15] Murthy, P.V., Khan, M.M., Nachegowda, V., and Umamahes, W.P., 2001: Effect of fertigation on leaf area and leaf petiole nutrient contents in Bangalore Blue grapes. Current Research, 30, 14-16.
- [16] Neilsen, G.H., Neilsen, D., Herbert, L.C., and Hogue, E.J., 2004: Response of apple to fertigation of N and K under conditions susceptible to the development of K deficiency. Journal of American Society for Horticultural Science, 129, 26-31.
- [17] Hammami, A., Rezgui, S., and Hellali, R., 2010: Leaf nitrogen and potassium concentrations for optimum fruit production, quality and biomass tree growth in Clementine mandarin under Mediterranean climate. Journal of Horticulture and Forestry, 2, 161-170.

© 2017, by the Authors. The articles published from this journal are distributed to the public under "Creative Commons Attribution License" (http://creative commons.org/licenses/by/3.0/). Therefore, upon proper citation of the original work, all the articles can be used without any restriction or can be distributed in any medium in any form.

**Publication History** 

Received 14<sup>th</sup> June 2017 Revised 04<sup>th</sup> July 2017 Accepted 10<sup>th</sup> July 2017 Online 30<sup>th</sup> July 2017