

## Research Article

# Yield Attributes and Yield of Wheat (*Triticum Aestivum* L.) as Influenced By Irrigation Scheduling and Organic Manures

H.P. Verma\*, O.P. Sharma, Rajesh Kumar, S.S. Yadav, A.C. Shivran and Balwan

Sri karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner, Rajasthan 303329

## Abstract

A field experiment was conducted under loamy sand soil during two consecutive *rabi* seasons of 2014-15 and 2015-16 at S.K.N. College of Agriculture, Jobner, Rajasthan study to the effect of irrigation scheduling and organic manures on growth, yield and quality of wheat. The treatments consisted of five irrigation scheduling i.e. I<sub>1</sub> (irrigation at critical stages), I<sub>2</sub> (0.9 IW/CPE ratio), I<sub>3</sub> (0.6 IW/CPE ratio at vegetative phase + 0.8 IW/CPE ratio at reproductive phase), I<sub>4</sub> (0.6 IW/CPE ratio at vegetative phase + 1.0 IW/CPE ratio at reproductive phase) and I<sub>5</sub> (0.8 IW/CPE ratio at vegetative phase + 1.0 IW/CPE ratio at reproductive phase) in main plots and four organic manures (control, FYM @ 15 t/ha, VC @ 6 t/ha and FYM @ 7.5 t + VC @ 3 t/ha) in sub plots were replicated four times in split plot design.

The pooled data results showed that irrigation applied at 0.9 IW/CPE ratio (I<sub>2</sub>) recorded the maximum values of yield attributes (number of effective tillers per metre row length, number of grains per ear, ear length and test weight) and yield (grain, straw, biological and HI) proved significantly superior over I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>4</sub> and I<sub>3</sub> except treatment I<sub>5</sub>. Results further indicated that application of FYM at 7.5 t + VC at 3 t/ha (M<sub>3</sub>) recorded significantly higher yield attributes (number of effective tillers per metre row length, number of grains per ear, ear length and test weight), yield (grain, straw, biological and HI) and being at par with M<sub>2</sub> proved superior over rest of the treatments.

Scheduling of irrigation to wheat either at 0.9 IW/CPE ratio throughout the growth or 0.8 IW/CPE ratio at vegetative phase + 1.0 IW/CPE ratio at reproductive phase brought about significantly higher yield (grain, straw and biological). So far as saving of irrigation water is concerned, irrigating the crop with 0.8 IW/CPE ratio at vegetative phase + 1.0 IW/CPE ratio at reproductive phase was most effective as the above schedule besides producing almost equal yields also curtailed one irrigation with highest water use efficiency.

**Keywords:** FYM, Irrigation Scheduling, RWC, Vermicompost, Wheat, WUE and Yield

## \*Correspondence

Author: H.P. Verma

Email: hppersoya.p@gmail.com

## Introduction

Wheat [*Triticum aestivum* (L.) emend. Fiori & Paol.] is one of the most important staple food crops of the world as well as India. It is cultivated under diverse growing conditions of soil and climate. In India, it is the second most important food crop after rice. It is an excellent health-building food containing approximately 78% carbohydrates, 11-12% protein (var. Raj-4037), 2% fat and minerals each and considerable amount of vitamins [1]. About 80 to 85% of wheat grains are ground into flour (atta) and consumed in the form of chapaties. Soft wheat is used for making chapaties, bread, cake, biscuits, pastry and other bakery products. Wheat straw is mainly used as fodder for livestock. Among the various production inputs, balanced nutrient (N, P and K) and water are considered as the two key inputs, making maximum contribution to crop productivity. Wheat is highly sensitive to water stress during the CRI and flowering but excess irrigation may lead to heavy vegetative growth and shortening of reproductive period and ultimately decrease the yield. Thus, timing the length of irrigation interval with the stages of crop growth might bring about a reduction in the number of irrigations and results in an economic crop yield. In principle, irrigation should take place while the soil water potential is still high enough to enable soil supply water fast enough to meet the local atmospheric demands without placing the plants under stress that would reduce yield and quality of crop. Although, a high water status throughout the growing season is necessary to maintain unimpaired crop growth and high economic yield, the imposition of some stress by longer irrigation intervals during vegetative or maturation by way of narrowing or widening IW/CPE ratio could attain similar economic yields as well as saving of irrigation water and improving water use efficiency. In general, irrigation is being scheduled on the basis of climatological approach (IW/CPE ratio)

during entire period of crop irrespective of the stage of growth. But proper scheduling of irrigation is necessary at both vegetative and reproductive phases to maintain the optimum moisture regime for better growth and development of crop in the changing climatic scenario where abrupt variation in temperature takes place.

Application of organic manures not only improves the soil organic carbon for sustaining the soil physical quality but also increases plant nutrients. In this context, FYM and vermicompost are of paramount importance for application in food crops. Addition of organic material to the soil such as farm yard manure (FYM) helps in maintaining soil fertility and productivity. It increases soil microbiological activities, plays key role in transformation, recycling and availability of nutrients to the crop. It also improves the physical properties like soil structure, porosity, reduces compaction and crusting and increases water holding capacity of soil. Vermicompost has been advocated as good organic manure for use in the field crops. Earthworm-processed organic waste often referred to as vermicompost is finally divided peat like materials with high porosity, aeration, drainability and water holding capacity. It contains nutrients in readily available form to the plants such as nitrate, exchangeable phosphorus, soluble K, Ca and Mg.

## Materials and Methods

A field experiment was carried out during the winter (*rabi*) seasons of 2014-15 to 2015-16 at S.K.N. College of Agriculture, Jobner (26° 05' North, longitude of 75° 28' East and at an altitude of 427 metres above mean sea level), Rajasthan. The soil was sandy loam having bulk density 1.52 Mg/m<sup>3</sup>, pH 8.3. The soil was poor organic carbon (0.23%), low available nitrogen (130.5 kg/ha) and phosphorus (15.1 kg/ha) and medium in potassium (148.9 kg/ha). The experiment was laid out in split-plot design with four replications. The treatments comprising five irrigation scheduling i.e. I<sub>1</sub> (irrigation at critical stages), I<sub>2</sub> (0.9 IW/CPE ratio), I<sub>3</sub> (0.6 IW/CPE ratio at vegetative phase + 0.8 IW/CPE ratio at reproductive phase), I<sub>4</sub> (0.6 IW/CPE ratio at vegetative phase + 1.0 IW/CPE ratio at reproductive phase) and I<sub>5</sub> (0.8 IW/CPE ratio at vegetative phase + 1.0 IW/CPE ratio at reproductive phase) and four organic manures i.e. M<sub>0</sub> (control), M<sub>1</sub> (FYM at 15 t/ha), M<sub>2</sub> (VC at 6 t/ha) and M<sub>3</sub> (FYM at 7.5 t/ha + VC at 3 t/ha). Wheat variety "Raj-4037" was sown on 16<sup>th</sup> December and 18<sup>th</sup> December during 2014 and 2015 and on harvested at 8<sup>th</sup> April and 10<sup>th</sup> April during 2015 and 2016, respectively. Seed @ 100 kg/ha was taken with 22.5 cm row spacing. Crop was raised with recommended package of practices of weed management, viz. application of isoproturon 0.75 kg/ha and 2, 4-D @ 0.8 kg/ha at 30 days after sowing was used. The field plots of size 4.0 m x 2.7 m were separated from each other by using 0.50 m buffer rows. Irrigations applied as per treatment on the basis of IW/CPE ratio approach using 4.5 cm depth of irrigation water. Six irrigations in I<sub>1</sub> (irrigation at critical stages), seven irrigations in I<sub>2</sub> (irrigation at 0.9 IW/CPE ratio), four irrigations in I<sub>3</sub> (irrigation at 0.6 IW/CPE ratio at vegetative phase + 0.8 IW/CPE ratio at reproductive phase), five irrigations in I<sub>4</sub> (irrigation at 0.6 IW/CPE ratio at vegetative phase + 1.0 IW/CPE ratio at reproductive phase) and six irrigation in I<sub>5</sub> (irrigation at 0.8 IW/CPE ratio at vegetative phase + 1.0 IW/CPE ratio at reproductive phase). A recommended dose of fertilizer was 90:30:0 kg N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O/ha. Half dose of nitrogen and full dose of phosphorus was applied as basal dose through urea and DAP, remaining dose of nitrogen was top dressed at the time of first and second irrigation. The farm yard manure (FYM) was applied two weeks before sowing and vermicompost just before sowing as per treatment. The FYM contains NPK @ 0.49, 0.28 and 0.42% and vermicompost NPK contains @ 1.21, 0.69 and 1.02%, respectively. Yield attributes viz. Number of effective tillers per metre row length, number of grains per ear, ear length and test weight of wheat under different treatments. The crop was harvested manually with the help of sickle when grain almost matured and straw had turned yellow and data on grain and straw yields were recorded. The sun-dried bundles were threshed and winnowed and seed so obtained was weighed. The straw yield was obtained by subtracting the seed yield from the biological yield. Consumptive use of water was worked out using the formula described by Dastane (1972) [2] and than was calculating water use efficiency. Grain and straw yield (kg/ha) was determined from the each plot and the yield tonnes per hectare was calculated. All the observation during individual years as well as in pooled analysis was statistically analyzed for their test of significance using the *F*-test [3]. The significant of difference between treatment means were compared with *t* critical difference at 5% level of probability. Water-use efficiency (WUE) was worked out as per formula.

$$\text{WUE} = \frac{\text{Economic crop yield (kg/ha)}}{\text{Consumptive use (mm)}}$$

## Results and Discussion

### Yield attributes

#### Effect of irrigation scheduling

Treatment I<sub>2</sub> (Irrigation at 0.9 IW/CPE ratio) significantly increased the number of effective tillers over I<sub>3</sub>, I<sub>4</sub> and I<sub>1</sub> and it was found statistically at par with I<sub>5</sub> (**Table 1**). This is because of optimum availability of water at crop growth that provides all available nutrients from the soil. Besides this, it maintained chlorophyll content in leaves and plant remain stay-green for longer period of time that helped higher photosynthesis of crop through better assimilation of carbon from atmosphere that favours the growth and more number of ear bearing tillers [4]. Treatment I<sub>2</sub> (Irrigations at 0.9 IW/CPE ratio) produced the maximum ear length and number of grains per ear which being statistically at par with I<sub>5</sub> (Irrigation at 0.8 IW/CPE ratio at vegetative phase + 1.0 IW/CPE ratio at reproductive phase) proved superior to I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>3</sub> and I<sub>4</sub>. As already mentioned, the treatment receiving frequent irrigation without any stress during reproductive phase i.e., I<sub>2</sub> and I<sub>5</sub> the higher reproductive efficiency was the main reason responsible for more ear length and number of grains per ear. When the ear length increased, the number of grains per ear also increased as both the parameters are closely associated with each other [5, 6].

**Table 1** Effect of irrigation scheduling and organic manures on yield attributes of wheat (on pooled basis)

Treatments	Number of effective tillers/m row length	Number of grains/ear	Ear length (cm)	Test weight (g)
<b>Irrigation Scheduling</b>				
I <sub>1</sub>	69.93	38.73	7.92	42.11
I <sub>2</sub>	76.00	40.87	8.23	44.20
I <sub>3</sub>	59.66	34.61	7.61	38.20
I <sub>4</sub>	66.74	37.23	7.67	40.76
I <sub>5</sub>	72.76	40.08	8.09	43.34
SEm ±	1.29	0.67	0.06	0.58
CD (P = 0.05)	3.76	1.96	0.19	1.68
<b>Organic Manures</b>				
M <sub>0</sub>	61.01	35.74	7.32	38.68
M <sub>1</sub>	69.53	38.00	8.01	41.77
M <sub>2</sub>	71.72	39.00	8.09	42.98
M <sub>3</sub>	73.92	40.48	8.18	43.27
SEm ±	0.99	0.56	0.03	0.34
CD (P = 0.05)	2.77	1.58	0.09	0.96
I <sub>1</sub> (irrigation at critical stages), I <sub>2</sub> (0.9 IW/CPE ratio), I <sub>3</sub> (0.6 IW/CPE ratio at veg. + 0.8 IW/CPE ratio at rep. phase), I <sub>4</sub> (0.6 IW/CPE ratio at veg. + 1.0 IW/CPE ratio at rep. phase) and I <sub>5</sub> (0.8 IW/CPE ratio at veg. + 1.0 IW/CPE ratio at rep. phase), M <sub>0</sub> (control), M <sub>1</sub> (FYM @ 15 t/ha), M <sub>2</sub> (vermicompost @ 6 t/ha), M <sub>3</sub> (FYM @ 7.5 t/ha + vermicompost @ 3 t/ha)				

An appraisal of results in respect of test weight indicated that the treatment I<sub>2</sub> and I<sub>5</sub> recorded higher test weight over rest of the irrigation scheduling treatments (Table 1). The increase in test weight under irrigation schedules I<sub>2</sub> and I<sub>5</sub> was due to ample supply of irrigation water to crop during reproductive phase and thereby the better growth of crop which helped the supply of sufficient photosynthates at the grain filling stage. Moreover, higher soil and plant water status under irrigation at 0.9 IW/CPE ratio throughout the growth and 1.0 IW/CPE ratio during reproductive phase might have facilitated easy translocation of food material from source to sink and better nourishment provided to the plant might have resulted in better yield attributing characters like effective tillers, number of grains per ear, ear length and test weight. These results are also in accordance with those reported by Nayak *et al.* (2015) [6] and Bikrmeditya *et al.* (2011) [7] who reported that increasing levels of irrigation based on IW/CPE ratio helped in enhancing yield attributes of wheat.

#### Effect of organic manures

Yield attributes viz. effective tillers, number of grains per ear, ear length and test weight were significantly affected by application of organic manures. The treatment M<sub>3</sub> (FYM @ 7.5 t/ha + vermicompost @ 3 t/ha) significantly increased the effective tillers, number of grains per ear, ear length as well as test weight while remaining at par with M<sub>2</sub>, proved superior over rest of the treatments (Table 1). The sink capacity of plant depends mainly on vegetative and

reproductive growth of the plant which affected positively by application of organic manures i.e. FYM and vermicompost and supply of photosynthates for the formation of yield components. These results are in agreement with those reported by Yadav *et al.* (2009) [8] and Verma *et al.* (2015) [9].

## Yield

### Effect of irrigation scheduling

The significantly higher grain yield was recorded under treatment I<sub>2</sub> (Irrigation at 0.9 IW/CPE ratio) with the respective value of 4.45 t/ha being at par with I<sub>5</sub> proved significantly superior to rest of the treatments. However, the above treatment i.e. I<sub>2</sub> superseded all other treatments except I<sub>5</sub>. Hence, I<sub>5</sub> also remained equally effective treatment with regard to grain yield (**Table 2**). It was also found that with sufficient moisture in the soil profile under higher irrigation frequency, plant nutrients particularly N, P and K were more available and might have translocated to produce more grain yield. Secondly, higher yield with higher levels of irrigation might be due to its key role in root development by reducing mechanical resistance of soil, higher transpiration, greater nutrient uptake and more photosynthesis due to metabolic activities in plant [10]. The other reason of yield increase might be that scheduling irrigation at 0.9 IW/CPE ratio and 1.0 IW/CPE ratio at reproductive phase created longer reproductive period with larger photosynthetic surface and reproductive storage capacity to attain higher allocation of net photosynthates to grain yield. The similar result was findings by Mishra and Kushwaha, (2016) [11].

**Table 2** Effect of irrigation scheduling and organic manures on grain, straw and biological yield, harvest index, consumptive use and water use efficiency of wheat (on pooled basis)

Treatments	Grain yield (t/ha)	Straw yield (t/ha)	Biological yield (t/ha)	HI (%)	Consumptive use (mm)	Water use efficiency (kg/ha/mm)
<b>Irrigation Scheduling</b>						
I <sub>1</sub>	4.24	6.06	10.31	41.16	380	11.14
I <sub>2</sub>	4.45	6.34	10.80	41.29	398	11.20
I <sub>3</sub>	3.78	5.76	9.55	39.61	369	10.24
I <sub>4</sub>	4.14	6.05	10.20	40.66	378	10.95
I <sub>5</sub>	4.37	6.25	10.62	41.18	385	11.34
SEm ±	0.07	0.07	0.12	0.15	-	0.04
CD (P = 0.05)	0.20	0.19	0.38	0.45	-	0.12
<b>Organic Manures</b>						
M <sub>0</sub>	3.48	5.12	8.62	40.50	409	8.53
M <sub>1</sub>	4.29	6.26	10.57	40.71	365	11.73
M <sub>2</sub>	4.43	6.42	10.87	40.86	379	11.79
M <sub>3</sub>	4.57	6.58	11.12	41.07	369	12.40
SEm ±	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.12	-	0.03
CD (P = 0.05)	0.18	0.17	0.30	0.35	-	0.11

I<sub>1</sub> (irrigation at critical stages), I<sub>2</sub> (0.9 IW/CPE ratio), I<sub>3</sub> (0.6 IW/CPE ratio at veg. + 0.8 IW/CPE ratio at rep. phase), I<sub>4</sub> (0.6 IW/CPE ratio at veg. + 1.0 IW/CPE ratio at rep. phase) and I<sub>5</sub> (0.8 IW/CPE ratio at veg. + 1.0 IW/CPE ratio at rep. phase), M<sub>0</sub> (control), M<sub>1</sub> (FYM @ 15 t/ha), M<sub>2</sub> (vermicompost @ 6 t/ha), M<sub>3</sub> (FYM @ 7.5 t/ha + vermicompost @ 3 t/ha)

The irrigation at 0.9 IW/CPE ratio (I<sub>2</sub>) recorded the maximum straw yield (6.34 t/ha) which was at par with I<sub>5</sub> but significantly higher over rest of the treatments. By and large, I<sub>2</sub> and I<sub>5</sub> were the equally effective treatments in respect of straw yield. Higher straw yield under optimum level of irrigation schedules might be due to better healthy vegetative crop growth in terms of dry matter obviously resulted into more straw yield [12]. The treatment I<sub>2</sub> (Irrigation at 0.9 IW/CPE ratio) recorded the maximum biological yield (10.80 t/ha) and being at par with I<sub>5</sub> (10.62 t/ha) proved significantly superior to rest of the treatments. Since, biological yield is a function of grain and straw yield representing vegetative and reproductive growth of the crop, the profound influence of balanced nutrition led to realization of higher biological yield. The significantly higher HI was noticed in I<sub>2</sub> (Irrigation at 0.9 IW/CPE) over I<sub>3</sub> and I<sub>4</sub>, but it was at par with I<sub>1</sub> and I<sub>5</sub> treatments (Table 2). With the sufficient water applied in the reproductive phase, more amount of assimilates were diverted towards sink. Thus, harvest index enhanced significantly as compared to other treatments. Harvest index of rest of the treatments was more or less same [13]. The treatment I<sub>2</sub> (Irrigation at 0.9 IW/CPE ratio) exhibited maximum value of consumptive use (398 mm) over all other treatments while the minimum consumptive use was brought about by I<sub>3</sub> (369 mm). Thus consumptive use of water increased with increasing in

quantity of irrigation water. This might be due to more number of irrigations which increased consumption of water due to better growth of crop and simultaneously the loss of water through evaporation under treatment I<sub>2</sub> [14, 15]. Treatment I<sub>5</sub> (Irrigation at 0.8 IW/CPE ratio at vegetative phase + 1.0 IW/CPE ratio at reproductive phase) recorded the significantly highest WUE (11.34 kg/ha/mm). While the lowest WUE (10.24 kg/ha/mm) was registered under treatment I<sub>3</sub>. Water use efficiency refers largely to the production per unit of water consumed by a crop. The highest WUE in the treatment I<sub>5</sub> might be due to the fact that crop was supplied with adequate soil moisture without moisture stress during reproductive phase. Moreover, the above treatment utilized lesser water consumptively as compared to I<sub>2</sub>. Hence, proportionately higher yield with the judicious use of limited water resulted to significantly highest WUE [7] was also of the same opinion.

### *Effect of organic manures*

The significantly higher values (4.57 and 6.58 t/ha) of grain and straw yield were recorded due to application of FYM @ 7.5 t/ha + vermicompost @ 3 t/ha (M<sub>3</sub>) which superseded over rest of the treatments while it remained at par with M<sub>2</sub> (Table 2). It is well known that addition of FYM and vermicompost could increase the micronutrient concentration in the soil and increase the adsorption power of soil for cations and anions, particularly, phosphates and nitrates and they were released slowly for the benefit of the crop during entire growth period. These results are in close proximity with those of Singh *et al.* (2004) [16].

The significantly higher values of biological yield (11.12 t/ha) were recorded under the treatment M<sub>3</sub> (FYM @ 7.5 t/ha + vermicompost @ 3 t/ha) which superseded over rest of the treatments while it remained at par with M<sub>2</sub>. Treatment M<sub>3</sub> represented an increase in the biological yield by 29.02 and 5.16 per cent, respectively over M<sub>0</sub> and M<sub>1</sub> with the corresponding magnitude of 2501 and 546 kg/ha. Since, biological yield is a function of grain and straw yield representing vegetative and reproductive growth of the crop, the profound influence of balanced nutrition led to realization of higher biological yield [17]. The treatment M<sub>3</sub> (FYM @ 7.5 t/ha + vermicompost @ 3 t/ha) recorded the maximum harvest index which remaining at par with M<sub>2</sub> proved significantly superior over rest of the treatments. HI indicates the percentage of total biological yield, partitioned to the economic part of the plant *viz.*, the grain, in terms of dry matter [10] in wheat. The results on consumptive use represent that the maximum consumptive use (409 mm) by crop was shown by the treatment M<sub>0</sub> (control) over rest of the treatments. The minimum consumptive use was obtained where FYM 7.5 t + vermicompost 3 t/ha (M<sub>3</sub>) was applied. Lower consumptive use in organic manure treated plots might be due to better conservation of soil moisture and reduced evaporation as compared to no manure treatment [18]. The significantly highest WUE was obtained under treatment M<sub>3</sub> (12.40 kg/ha/mm). The reason may be ascribed to the fact that proportionate increase in grain yield was greater than the evapo-transpiration due to combined application of FYM and vermicompost. Thus, WUE enhanced significantly over sole application of organic manures or no organic manure treatment where increase in yield was lesser than the loss of water through ET [19].

## **Conclusion**

Based on the results of two years investigation, it can be concluded that scheduling of irrigation to wheat either at 0.9 IW/CPE ratio throughout the growth or 0.8 IW/CPE ratio at vegetative phase + 1.0 IW/CPE ratio at reproductive phase brought about significantly higher yield (grain, straw and biological). So far as saving of irrigation water is concerned, irrigating the crop with 0.8 IW/CPE ratio at vegetative phase + 1.0 IW/CPE ratio at reproductive phase was most effective as the above schedule besides producing almost equal yields also curtailed one irrigation with highest water use efficiency.

## **References**

- [1] Kumar, P., Yadav, R.K., Gollen, B., Kumar, S., Verma, R.K. and Yadav, S. 2011. Nutritional contents and medical properties of wheat: A review Life Sciences and Medicinal Research, 47 (2):145-149.
- [2] Dastane, N. G. 1972. A Practical Manual for Water Use Research in Agriculture. Navbharat Prakashan. 702-Budhawar Path, Poona.
- [3] Gomez, A.A. and Gomez, A.A. 1984. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research (2nd ed.). John Wiley and Sons. Singapore.
- [4] Chaplot, P.C. and Sumeriyan, H.K. 2013. Effect of balanced fertilization, organic manures and bioregulator on growth, chlorophyll content and dry matter accumulation of late sown wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Environment and Ecology, 31(2): 57-60.

- [5] Kumar, B., Dhar, S., Vyas, A.K. and Paramesh, V. 2015. Impact of irrigation schedules and nutrient management on growth, yield and root traits of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) varieties. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 60(1): 87-91.
- [6] Nayak, M.K., Patel, H.R., Prakash, V. and Kumar, A. 2015. Influence of irrigation scheduling on crop growth, yield and quality of wheat. *Journal of Agriculture Research*, 2(1): 65-68.
- [7] Bikrmeditya., Verma, R., Ram, S. and Sharma, B. 2011. Effect of soil moisture regimes and fertility levels on growth, yield and water use efficiency of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Progressive Agriculture*, 11(1): 73-78.
- [8] Yadav, D.S., Kumar, V. and Yadav, V. 2009. Effect of organic farming on productivity, soil health and economics of rice (*Oryza sativa*)–wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) system. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 54(3): 267-271.
- [9] Verma, V.K., Singh, V., Choudhary, S., Tripathi, A.K. and Srivastava, A.K. 2015. Effect of organic manures and microbial inoculants superimposed over inorganic fertilizers on production and profitability of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Current Advances in Agricultural Sciences*, 7(2): 129-132.
- [10] Bhunia, S. R., Chauhan, R. P. S., Yadav, B.S. and Bhati, A.S. 2006. Effect of phosphorus, irrigation and rhizobium on productivity, water use and nutrient uptake in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 51(3): 239-241.
- [11] Mishra, G. and Kushwaha, H.S. 2016. Winter wheat yield and soil physical properties responses to different tillage and irrigation. *European Journal of Biological Research*, 56:530-537.
- [12] Narolia, R.S., Meena, H., Singh, P., Meena, B.S. and Ram, B. 2016. Effect of irrigation scheduling and nutrient management on productivity, profitability and nutrient uptake of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) grown under zero-tilled condition in south-eastern Rajasthan. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 61(1): 53-58.
- [13] Mehta, R.S., Patel, B.S., Singh, R.K., Meena, S.S. and Malhotra, S.K. 2010. Growth and yield of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) as influenced by irrigation levels and weed management practices. *Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops*, 19: 14-22.
- [14] Bandyopadhyay, P.K. and Mallick, S. 2003. Actual evapo-transpiration and crop coefficient of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under varying moisture levels of humid tropical canal command area. *Agricultural Water Management*, 49(1): 33-47.
- [15] Singh, L., Singh, C.M. and Singh, G.R. 2012. Response of bed planted wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under different moisture regimes on water use and its efficiency. *Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research*, 4(11): 4941-4945.
- [16] Singh, R. and Agarwal, S.K. 2004. Effect of organic manuring and nitrogen fertilization on productivity, nutrient use efficiency and economics of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 49(1): 49-52.
- [17] Kumar, P. and Pannu, R.K. 2012. Effect of different sources of nutrition and irrigation levels on yield, nutrient uptake and nutrient use efficiency of wheat. *International Journal of Life Science, Botany and Pharma Research*, 1(4): 187-192.
- [18] Vishuddha. N., Singh, G.R., Kumar, R., Raj, S. and Yadav, B. 2014. Effect of irrigation levels and nutrient sources on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Annals of Agricultural Research*, 35(1): 14-20.
- [19] Ebtisam, E., Hellal, F., Mansour, H. and Mohammed A. E. H. 2013. Assessment cultivated period and farm yard manure addition on some soil properties, nutrient content and wheat yield under sprinkler irrigation system. *Agricultural Science Digest*, 4(1): 14-22.

**Publication History**

Received 01<sup>st</sup> July 2017  
Revised 18<sup>th</sup> July 2017  
Accepted 20<sup>th</sup> July 2017  
Online 30<sup>th</sup> July 2017

© 2017, by the Authors. The articles published from this journal are distributed to the public under “**Creative Commons Attribution License**” (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>). Therefore, upon proper citation of the original work, all the articles can be used without any restriction or can be distributed in any medium in any form.