# Research Article

# Physicochemical and Genetic Analysis for Grain Yield and its Contributing Traits in Macaroni Wheat (*Triticum durum* desf.) Over the Environments

Bhagwati Baranda\*, A. K. Sharma and Sohan Lal Kajla

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner (Rajasthan)-334006

#### Abstract

Present investigation was conducted to estimates combining ability effects and components of gene action for 15 metric traits in durum wheat. Results revealed significant variation among parents crosses and parents v/s  $F_{1}s$  for all the characters. Analysis of variance for combining ability depicted the role of both additive and non-additive gene effects. Component of gene action also indicated preponderance of SCA variance that reveals non-additive (H) nature of gene effects for most of the traits. On the basis of GCA effects, parents viz., HI-8663, HI-8498, PDW-274 and PDW-233 were found good general combiner, while based on SCA effects, the crosses HI-8663  $\times$  PDW-274, PDW-274  $\times$  PDW-233 and HI-8498  $\times$  HI-8663 identified as superior having good  $\times$  good general combiners for grain yield. Hence, these parents and crosses may be used in durum wheat multiple crossing programme using simple pedigree method.

**Keywords:** Additive and Non-additive, Combing ability, Durum wheat and Gene effects

## \*Correspondence

Author: Bhagwati Baranda Email:

bhagwatibaranda74@gmail.com

## Introduction

Macaroni wheat [Triticum durum, (2n=4x=28, genomes AABB)] is one of the ancient staple food grain crop consumed by human being. The grain protein content of durum wheat is an important trait for the nutritional value of grain and the technological properties of flour [1]. In India, durum wheat has the potential for both domestic consumption and export market, as it is used primarily for making special products such as couscous, macaroni and various types of bread [2]. India has special emphasis to improve productivity of wheat under harsh environments like drought and high temperature at the time of maturity [3]. New climatic conditions might induce plant terminal growth stress resulting from a more pronounced heat and drought stress during the late stages of the wheat growth cycle [4]. Thus, early maturity is an important breeding objective in durum wheat under semi- arid conditions. Earliness minimizes the effect of terminal heat and drought stresses on plant, as short-cycle cultivars grow mostly under more favorable conditions than their long-cycle counterparts [5]. Grain yield and some other traits controlling stress tolerance are complex polygenic characters, sensitive to environmental changes, showing low response to selection [6]. Improvements of such traits rely upon identification of genetically superior and suitable genotypes and their exploitation through crossing programme, such as biparental mating or diallel selective mating and pedigree method, which exploit both additive and non-additive gene effects, simultaneously, could be useful in the improvement of grain yield and its components in durum wheat. With this perspective, the present investigation was taken to estimate the general and specific combining ability variance, effects and gene action for seed yield and its contributing characters in macaroni wheat.

### Material and Method

The experimental material of present study was composed of ten genetically diverse durum wheat genotypes viz., PDW-291, HI-8498, HI-8663, WH-896, RAJ-1555, PDW-274, PDW-233, PDW-314, NIDW-295 and HI-8737. These genotypes were crossed in half diallel mating design. The investigation using 45 F<sub>1</sub>s and 10 parents were laid out in randomized block design with three replications in each environment at three different dates of sowing as E<sub>1</sub> (early), E<sub>2</sub> (normal) and E<sub>3</sub> (late) at Instructional Research Farm, College of Agriculture, SKRAU, Bikaner during *Rabi* 2018-19. Each genotype was grown in a double row of 3 m length with 22.50 x 10 cm<sup>2</sup> spacing. Five plants were randomly selected for taking observation of plant height, flag leaf length, flag leaf area, number of productive tillers per plant, spike length, number of grains per spike, grain weight per spike, biological yield per plant, harvest index, test weight

and grain yield per plant. While, phonological traits like days to 50% heading and days to maturity were recorded on whole plot basis. However quality trait protein content was estimated from a random sample of grain from bulk grain harvested from five selected plants of each replication of the experiment [7]. The mean data were subjected to statistical analysis for analysis of variance [8] and combining ability and effects [9] using Model-1 and Method-2.

## **Results and Discussion**

The pooled analysis of variance (ANOVA) for combining ability over the environments is presented in **Table 1**. The mean squares due to parents, general combining ability (GCA) and hybrids, specific combining ability (SCA) were found significant for all the 15 characters studied, which revealed significant difference present between parents for GCA and crosses for SCA. Thus, it indicates the role of both additive and non-additive (dominance and epistasis) gene effects for all the characters. The results were in conformity with reports of [10], [11], [12], [16] and [17]. The interaction components results from GCA  $\times$  Environment and SCA  $\times$  Environment mean squares were also significant for all the characters except flag leaf area for both GCA  $\times$  Environment and SCA  $\times$  Environment, indicating that the influence of environment on both  $\sigma^2$  GCA and  $\sigma^2$  SCA.

**Table 1** Pooled ANOVA and components of gene action for combining ability over the environments

Characters	Source of variation with degree of freedom  Components of gene action								
	Env	GCA	SCA	GCA×E	<b>SCA×E</b>	Pooled	$\sigma^2$	$\sigma^2$	σ <sup>2</sup> GCA/
	[2]	[9]	[45]	[18]	[90]	error	GCA	SCA	$\sigma^2$ SCA
						[324]			
Days to 50% heading	2792.93**	7.99**	4.33**	3.77**	1.95*	1.20	0.18	1.04	0.18
Days to maturity	2088.57**	46.75**	8.91**	5.47**	2.68**	0.81	1.27	2.70	0.47
Plant height (cm)	3366.18**	19.67**	4.50**	7.52**	5.65**	1.77	0.49	0.90	0.54
Flag leaf length(cm)	56.32**	6.12**	3.87**	1.86*	1.30*	0.96	0.14	0.96	0.14
Flag leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	203.63**	10.48**	7.05**	0.91	1.71	1.75	0.24	1.76	0.13
Number of productive	216.25**	10.53**	6.76**	0.81*	0.65*	0.41	0.28	2.11	0.13
tillers per plant									
Spike length (cm)	7.04**	4.98**	1.49**	0.34**	0.29**	0.11	0.13	0.45	0.29
Grain filling period	740.72**	32.83**	21.92**	2.08*	2.29**	1.09	0.88	6.94	0.12
Number of grains per	798.37**	404.76**	127.40**	23.63**	25.47**	1.32	11.20	42.02	0.26
spike									
Grain weight per spike	1.91**	1.47**	0.45**	0.08**	0.09**	0.01	0.04	0.14	0.27
(g)									
Biological yield per	2485.83**	27.79**	40.14**	8.90**	13.67**	1.33	0.73	12.93	0.05
plant (g)									
Harvest index (%)	113.77**	98.61**	116.03**	16.90**	29.42**	3.07	2.65	37.65	0.07
Test weight (g)	182.45**	145.25**	44.18**	8.30**	9.09**	0.96	4.00	14.40	0.27
Protein content (%)	13.91**	0.32**	0.61**	0.16**	0.22**	0.01	0.008	0.19	0.04
Grain yield per plant (g)	501.66**	15.62**	27.38**	3.16**	3.34**	0.30	0.42	9.02	0.04
* and ** represents significant at 5% and 1% level of significance, respectively									

Perusal of Table 1 represented that the amount of  $\sigma^2$ sca was greater than their corresponding  $\sigma^2$ gca for almost characters on pooled environments, which reflected preponderance of non-additive gene action in the inheritance of these traits. This might be possible due to parental lines involved in present investigation had high selection efficiency for these traits. Similar results of pre dominance of SCA variances over GCA variances have also been observed and informed by some workers in wheat [11] and [13]. The ratio of GCA and SCA variances were less than unity revealed high estimates of non-additive (H) components for most of the characters on pooled over environments [14] and [15]. On the other hand days to maturity in  $E_1$  and plant height in  $E_2$  and over environment exhibited additive genetic variance.

The GCA effect and SCA effects of the crosses were estimated for those characters, which had significant value of respective variance of the combining ability analysis. The parents having significant GCA effect in desired direction, non-significant GCA effect and significant GCA effect in undesired direction were classified as good, average and poor general combiner, respectively. Same pattern was also followed for crosses. Maximum and minimum values of GCA effects (represented in **Table 2** on pooled basis) for different character were lies between -0.70 (HI-8498) to 0.64 (PDW-314) for days to 50% heading; -1.37 (RAJ-1555) to 2.00 (HI-8663) for maturity; -0.86 (PDW-314) to 1.41 (WH-896) for plant height; -0.63 (WH-896) to 0.74 (PDW-291) for flag length; -0.75 (PDW-314) and 0.93 (PDW-291) for flag leaf area; -0.90 (NIDW-295) and 0.57 (PDW-274) for tillers plant -1; -0.64 (PDW-314) to

0.69 (HI-8663) for spike length; -1.75 (WH-896) to 0.92 (HI-8663) for grain filling period; -6.00 (PDW-314) to 6.18 (HI-8663) for number of grains spike<sup>-1</sup>; -0.34 (PDW-314) to 0.40 (HI-8663) for grain weight spike<sup>-1</sup>; -1.44 (RAJ-1555) and 1.63 (PDW-274) for biological yield per plant; -2.98 (WH-896) to 2.26 (RAJ-1555) for harvest index; -3.38 (PDW-314) to 4.05 (HI-8663) for test weight; -0.13 (PDW-233) to 0.16 (WH-896) for protein content and -0.78 (PDW-314) to 0.65 (HI-8663) for grain yield per plant.

Table 2 General combining ability (GCA) effects in durum wheat on pooled environments

Parent s	Days to 50% head ing	Day s to mat urit y	Plant heigh t (cm)	Flag leaf lengt h (cm)	Flag leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup>	Numb er of produ ctive tillers per plant	Spi ke len gth (cm	Gr ain filli ng per iod	Num ber of Grai ns per spike	Gra in wei ght per spik e (g)	Biolog ical yield per plant (g)	Harv est inde x (%)	Test wei ght (g)	Prot ein cont ent (%)	Gra in yiel d per pla nt
GCA ef			0.10	0.65	0.02	0.47**	0.2	0.0	1.01*	0.05	0.64**		0.50	0.07	(g)
PDW- 291	-0.34	- 0.76 **	-0.19	0.65	0.93 **	0.47**	0.2 0**	0.0 7	1.91* *	0.05 *	0.64**	- 2.02* *	0.50	0.07 **	- 0.46 **
HI- 8498	- 0.70* *	1.17 **	-0.63*	0.50	0.42	0.46**	0.2 1**	0.3 8*	1.05*	0.08	0.30	0.94* *	0.86 **	- 0.05 *	0.50 **
HI- 8663	0.22	2.00	0.13	0.24	0.50 *	0.57**	0.6 9**	0.9 2**	6.18* *	0.40 **	0.13	1.50*	4.05 **	0.03	0.65 **
WH- 896	0.61* *	- 0.87 **	1.41* *	-0.36	0.24	-0.24*	- 0.3 9**	- 1.7 5**	- 3.65* *	- 0.23 **	-0.43*	- 2.98* *	- 2.36 **	0.16 **	- 1.14 **
RAJ- 1555	-0.23	- 1.37 **	1.12*	0.52	0.43	0.07	0.0 9	- 1.3 7**	1.05*	0.05	- 1.44**	2.26*	0.57 **	- 0.09 **	0.06
PDW- 274	0.32	0.62 **	-0.37	0.08	0.16	0.57**	- 0.0 7	0.2	0.31	0.00 8	1.63**	-0.18	0.11	- 0.08 **	0.65 **
PDW- 233	0.23	0.65 **	-0.55*	-0.26	0.32	0.06	0.1 2*	0.7 0**	1.77* *	0.08	0.58*	1.17*	0.74 **	- 0.13 **	0.65 **
PDW- 314	0.64*	0.41	- 0.86* *	-0.45	- 0.75 **	0.73**	- 0.6 4**	0.8 4**	- 6.00* *	- 0.34 **	- 0.92**	- 0.90*	- 3.38 **	0.02	- 0.78 **
NIDW -295	-0.22	- 0.54 **	-0.06	-0.39	0.27	- 0.90**	- 0.2 6**	0.6 7**	- 2.00* *	- 0.10 **	-0.53*	- 0.64*	- 0.97 **	0.09	- 0.40 **
HI- 8737	0.53*	- 1.32 **	0.03	-0.53	- 0.52 *	0.33**	0.0 3	- 0.7 3**	- 0.62* *	0.00 5	-0.03	0.85*	0.11	-0.02	0.25
Minim um	-0.70	- 1.37	-0.86	-0.36	- 0.75	-0.90	- 0.6 4	1.7 5	-6.00	0.34	-1.44	-2.98	3.38	-0.13	- 0.78
Maxi mum	0.64	2.00	1.41	0.74	0.93	0.57	0.6 9	0.9 2	6.18	0.40	1.63	2.26	4.05	0.16	0.65
SE (g <sub>i</sub> ) ±	0.17	0.14	0.21	0.37	0.20	0.10	0.0 5	0.1 6	0.18	0.01	0.18	0.27	0.15	0.01	0.08
$SE (g_i-g_j) \pm$	0.25	0.21	0.31	0.55	0.31	0.15	0.0 7	0.2 4	0.27	0.02	0.27	0.41	0.23	0.02	0.13

A number of parents showing good GCA effects for grain yield and its contributing traits over environments for three or more traits were PDW-291 for maturity, flag leaf length, flag leaf area, number of productive tillers per plant, spike length, number of grains per spike, grain weight per spike, biological yield per plant, test weight and protein content; HI-8498, HI-8663 for flag leaf area, number of productive tillers per plant, spike length, grain filling period, number of grain per spike, grain weight per spike, test weight and grain yield per plant; PDW-233 for spike length, grain filling period, number of grain per spike, grain weight per spike, biological yield per plant, harvest

index, test weight and grain yield per plant; for quality attributing trait protein content were NIDW-295, PDW-291 and WH-896. However, GCA effects in negative direction is desirable for days to heading and days to maturity was HI-8737 and for dwarf plant height was PDW-314, PDW-233 and HI-8737 (Table 2). In wheat, parents having good general combining ability were corroborative with the findings [10], [11], [13] and [16]. On the basis of high *per se* performance and high general combining ability effects for majority of the characters, which is due to existence of gene attributed to additive and additive × additive gene interaction effects. Therefore, these parents could be used in hybridization programme for accumulation of favorable alleles in a single genetic background.

Table 3 Range of SCA effects, Number of crosses showing SCA effects and best three crosses based on SCA effects

Characters	Range of SCA effects	Number of crosses	Crosses according to rank					
		showing SCA	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup>			
		effects						
Days to 50%	-2.21 (PDW-291× WH-	3	PDW-291 ×	HI-8663 ×	HI-8663 ×			
heading	896) to 2.17 (PDW-291 ×		WH-896 (A ×	RAJ-1555 (P ×	NIDW-295 (P			
	HI-8663)		P)	A)	$\times$ A)			
Days to maturity	$-2.41 \text{ (WH-896} \times \text{PDW-}$	9	WH-896 ×	PDW-291 ×	HI-8498 ×			
	233) to 3.26 (PDW-291 $\times$		PDW-233 (A $\times$	HI-8663 (G ×	PDW-314 (P ×			
	PDW-233)		P)	P)	P)			
Plant height (cm)	-1.85 (HI-8498× NIDW-	3	HI-8498 ×	HI-8663 ×	PDW-291 ×			
	295) to 3.84 (HI-8663 ×		NIDW-295 (G	PDW-314 (P $\times$	RAJ-1555 (A ×			
	RAJ-1555)		$\times$ A)	G)	P)			
Flag leaf length (cm)	PDW-291 × RAJ-1555 (-	9	RAJ-1555 ×	PDW-274 $\times$	WH-896 ×			
	2.65) to RAJ-1555 $\times$		NIDW-295 (G	PDW-233 (A	PDW-274 (P $\times$			
2	NIDW-295 (2.26)		× P)	× A)	A)			
Flag leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	-3.39 (PDW-274 × HI-	5	HI-8663 ×	PDW-291 ×	PDW-274 ×			
	8737) to 3.24 (HI-8663 ×		PDW-274 (G ×	HI-8737 (G ×	PDW-233 (P ×			
N. 1 C	PDW-274)	0	P)	P)	P)			
Number of	-2.25 (PDW-291 × PDW-	8	PDW-291 × HI-	HI-8663 ×	HI-8498 ×			
productive tillers per	274) to 4.45 (PDW-291 ×		$8737 (G \times P)$	PDW-274 (G	RAJ-1555(G $\times$			
plant	HI-8737)	10	DDW 201 III	× G)	A)			
Spike length (cm)	-1.30 (HI-8498 × PDW-	10	PDW-291 × HI-	PDW-274 ×	HI-8498 ×			
	274) to 1.97 (PDW-291 ×		8737 ( $G \times P$ )	PDW-233 (P ×	RAJ-1555 (G ×			
Grain filling period	HI-8737) -5.55 (WH-896 × NIDW-	16	PDW-233 × HI-	G) PDW-291 ×	A) PDW-291 ×			
Grain minig period	295) to 4.76 (PDW-233 ×	10	$8737 (G \times P)$	HI-8498 (A ×	WH-896 (A ×			
	HI-8737)		6/3/ (G ×1)	G)	P)			
Number of grains	-11.50 (HI-8498 × PDW-	13	PDW-291 × HI-	PDW-274 ×	HI-8663 ×			
per spike	274) to 18.97 (PDW-291 ×	15	$8737 (G \times P)$	PDW-233 (A	PDW-274 (G ×			
рег зріке	HI-8737)		0737 (G × 1)	× G)	A)			
Grains weight per	-0.68 (HI-8498 × PDW-	11	PDW-291 × HI-	PDW-274 ×	HI-8663 ×			
spike (g)	274) to 1.20 (PDW-291 ×		$8737 (G \times P)$	PDW-233 (A	PDW-274 (G ×			
»F (8)	HI-8737)			× G)	A)			
Biological yield per	-5.56 (PDW-291 × PDW-	16	HI-8663 ×	HI-8498 ×	PDW-291 ×			
plant (g)	314) to 7.99 (HI-8663 ×		PDW-274 (A ×	RAJ-1555 (A	HI-8737 (G ×			
1 (8)	PDW-274)		G) .	× P)	P) .			
Harvest index (%)	-16.62 (RAJ-1555 × PDW-	20	PDW-291 × HI-	PDW-291 ×	PDW-274 ×			
,	274) to 10.52 (PDW-291 ×		$8737 (P \times G)$	RAJ-1555 (P ×	PDW-233 (P ×			
	HI-8737)			G)	G)			
Test weight (g)	$-7.00 \text{ (HI-8498} \times \text{PDW-}$	15	PDW-291 $\times$ HI-	PDW-274 $\times$	HI-8663 ×			
	274) to 11.04 (PDW-291 $\times$		$8737 (G \times P)$	PDW-233 (A	PDW-274 (G $\times$			
	HI-8737)			$\times$ G)	A)			
Protein content (%)	$-0.76 \text{ (PDW-291} \times \text{HI-}$	22	PDW-291 × HI-	HI-8663 ×	HI-8498 ×			
	8737) to 0.81 (PDW-291 $\times$		8663 (G × A)	WH-896 (A ×	NIDW-295 (P			
	HI-8663)			G)	$\times$ G)			
Grain yield per plant	$-5.73 \text{ (RAJ-1555} \times \text{PDW-}$	18	HI-8663 ×	PDW-291 ×	$HI-8498 \times HI-$			
(g)	274) to 6.93 (HI-8663 ×		PDW-274 (G ×	HI-8737 (P ×	$8663 (G \times G)$			
	PDW-274)		G)	G)				

The information pertaining to different aspects of SCA effect pooled over the environments was presented in **Table 3**. This table gives the information about top three crosses along number of crosses as depicted significantly in desired direction in SCA effects for different character. Ranged of SCA effects varied from -2.21 (PDW-291× WH-

896) to 2.17 (PDW-291 × HI-8663) for 50% heading; -2.41 (WH-896 × PDW-233) to 3.26 (PDW-291 × PDW-233) maturity; -1.85 (HI-8498 × NIDW-295) to 3.84 (HI-8663 × RAJ-1555) for plant height; -2.65 (PDW-291 × RAJ-1555) to 2.26 (RAJ-1555 × NIDW-295) for flag leaf length; -3.39 (PDW-274 × HI-8737) to 3.24 (HI-8663 × PDW-274) for flag leaf area; -2.25 (PDW-291 × PDW-274) to 4.45 (PDW-291 × HI-8737) for tillers plant<sup>-1</sup>; -1.30 (HI-8498 × PDW-274) to 1.97 (PDW-291 × HI-8737) for spike length; -5.55 (WH-896 × NIDW-295) to 4.76 (PDW-233 × HI-8737) for grain filling period; -11.50 (HI-8498 × PDW-274) to 18.97 (PDW-291 × HI-8737) for grains spike<sup>-1</sup>; -0.68 (HI-8498 × PDW-274) to 1.20 (PDW-291 × HI-8737) for grain weight spike<sup>-1</sup>; -5.56 (PDW-291 × PDW-314) to 7.99 (HI-8663 × PDW-274) for biological yield plant<sup>-1</sup>; -16.62 (RAJ-1555 × PDW-274) to 10.52 (PDW-291 × HI-8737) for harvest index; -7.00 (HI-8498 × PDW-274) to 11.04 (PDW-291 × HI-8737) for test weight; -0.76 (PDW-291 × HI-8737) to 0.81 (PDW-291  $\times$  HI-8663) for protein content and -5.73 (RAJ-1555  $\times$  PDW-274) to 6.93 (HI-8663  $\times$ PDW-274) for grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup>. Among the 45 crosses, the five cross combinations showed significant SCA effects over the environments for four or more characters with grain yield per plant (Table 4) which were HI-8663 × PDW-274, PDW-274 × PDW-233, HI-8498 × HI-8663, PDW-291 × HI-8737 and HI-8498 × PDW-233 for flag leaf area, number of productive tillers per plant, spike length, grain filling period, number of grain per spike, grain weight per spike, biological yield per plant, harvest index and test weight; it also observed that cross PDW-274 × PDW-233 for flag leaf area and HI-8498 × PDW-233 for days to maturity. These crosses had both the parents were good × good general combiners, indicating that these crosses had high SCA effects might be attributed due to the cumulative effects of the additive gene action. The transgressive segregants could be isolated in higher frequency from these crosses and could be successfully utilized to generate a pure breeding line in self-pollinated crop like wheat by using simply pedigree method of breeding. PDW-291 × HI-8737, such cross combination involving at least one good general combiner parent may produce transgressive segregants due to showing high SCA effects. Hence they were indicating interaction of additive × dominance effects. While some of the cross combinations were recorded to be high SCA effects, in which both the parents were poor general combiner. Therefore, good general combiner might not necessarily produce superior cross combination; nevertheless it might occur even from poor x poor combinations. Present findings supported the reports of previous workers done [11] and [13].

**Table 4** Promising crosses with high *per se* performance and genetic parameters for grain yield on pooled environments

S.	Crosses	Mean grain yield	SCA	GCA		Types of	Significant SCA effects
No.	Crosses	per plant (g)	5011	P1	P2	combination	for other traits
1.	HI-8663 ×	21.32	6.93**	0.65**	0.65**	$(good \times good)$	FLA, Tillers, SL, GF,
	PDW-274						grains, GW, BY, HI, TW
2.	PDW-274 $\times$	19.93	5.53**	0.65**	0.65**	$(good \times good)$	FLL, FLA, Tillers, SL, GF,
	PDW-233						grains, GW, BY, HI, TW
3.	HI-8498 ×	19.81	5.57**	0.50**	0.65**	$(good \times good)$	FLA, Tillers, SL, GF,
	HI-8663						grains, GW, BY, HI, TW
4.	PDW-291 ×	19.80	6.92**	-	0.25**	$(poor \times good)$	FLA, Tillers, SL, GF,
	HI-8737			0.46**			grains, GW, BY, HI, TW
5.	HI-8498 ×	18.16	3.91**	0.50**	0.65**	$(good \times good)$	DM, FLA, Tillers, SL,
	PDW-233						grains, GW, BY, HI, TW

Where, DM=days to maturity, PH= Plant height, FLA= flag leaf area, FLL=flag leaf length, Tiller = Number of productive tillers per plant, SL= Spike length, GF= Grain filling period, Grains= Number of grains per spike, GW= Grains weight per spike, BY= Biological yield per plant, HI= Harvest index, TW= test weight and GY= Grain yield per plant

## **Conclusions**

From the present investigation, it is concluded that parents namely HI-8498, HI-8663 and PDW-233 were good general combiners for grain yield and its contributing characters; HI-8737 for days to heading and days to maturity. Cross combinations namely HI-8663  $\times$  PDW-274, PDW-274  $\times$  PDW-233 and HI-8498  $\times$  HI-8663 could be given weightage in durum crop improvement programme. Thus, studies of gene effects and genetic variance helps to understanding genetic potential of breeding material and enhancement of grain yield along quality parameters.

## References

- [1] A. Blanco, R. Simeone, A. Gadaleta, Detection of QTLs for grain protein content in durum wheat, Theor Appl Genet., 2006, 112, 1195-1204.
- [2] A. Troccoli, G. M. Borelli, P. De Vita, C. Fares, N. Di Fonzo, Mini review: Durum wheat quality: A

- multidisciplinary concept, J. Cereal Sci., 2000, 32, 99-113.
- [3] J. L. Araus, G. Slafer, C. Royo, M. D. Serret, Breeding for yield potential and stress adaptation in cereals, Crit. Rev. Plant Sci., 2008, 27, 377–412.
- [4] D. K. Koul, B. Singh, Evolution for drought tolerance in elite genotypes of bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.), Advances in Plant Sci., 2011, 24, 141-146.
- [5] N. Przulj, N. Mladenov, Inheritance of grain filling duration in spring wheat, Plant Breed., 1999, 118, 517-521.
- [6] M. F. Ferdous, A. K. M. Shamsuddin, D. Hasna, M. M. R. Bhuiyan, Study on relationship and selection index for yield and yield contributing characters in spring wheat, J. Bangladesh Agri. Univ., 2010, 8, 191–194.
- [7] J. Kjedhal, A new method for the estimation of nitrogen in organic compound, Zanal. Chem., 1883, 22, 366.
- [8] V. G. Panse, P. V. Sukhatme, Statistical method for agricultural Research worker 3rd edition, ICAR, New Delhi, 1967.
- [9] B. Griffing, Concepts of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing system. Australian J. Biol. Sci., 1956, 9, 463-493.
- [10] N. A. Patel, J. P. Bhatt, P. B. Dave, S. S. Chauhan, Genetic analysis of grain yield, its components and quality characters in durum wheat (Triticum durum Desf.) over environments. International J. Agric. Sci., 2016, 8, 1681-1686.
- [11] J. Yadav, S. N. Sharma, M. L. Jakhar, Shweta. Combining ability analysis for yield and its components in bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L. em. Thell.) over environments. International Plant Sciences, 2017, 12, 95-101.
- [12] A. Hannachi, A. Z. Fellahi, H. Bouzerzour, A genetic analysis of some metric traits in a 6 x 6 Half-Diallel crosses of durum wheat (Triticum turgidum var durum L.) under Semi-Arid Conditions. Jordan J. Agric. Sci., 2017, 13, 4.
- [13] S. G. Deshmukh, N. R. Potdukhe, H. S. Deshmukh, R. S. Nandanwar, Combining ability analysis for yield and its component traits in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Trends in Biosciences, 2017, 10, 43.
- [14] F. F. Saad, S. R. E. Abo-Hegazy, E. A. M. EL-Sayed, H. S. Suleiman, Heterosis and combining ability for yield and its components in diallel crosses among seven bread wheat genotypes. Egypt. J. Plant. Breed, 2010, 14, 7-22
- [15] I. H. Ali, Al-Falahy, A. H. Muhmmud, Analysis of partial diallel cross for yield and it's components in durum wheat, Bulletin of Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, 2011, 62, 145-152.
- [16] H. N. Patel, Identification of heterotic combinations for grain yield and quality taits in bread Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.), Int. J. Pure App. Biosci., 2018, 6, 107-115.
- [17] R. S. Rajput, V. S. Kandalkar, Combining ability and heterosis for grain yield and its attributing traits in bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). J. Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 2018, 7, 113-119.

© 2020, by the Authors. The articles published from this journal are distributed to the public under "Creative Commons Attribution License" (http://creative commons.org/licenses/by/3.0/). Therefore, upon proper citation of the original work, all the articles can be used without any restriction or can be distributed in any medium in any form. For more information please visit www.chesci.com.

<b>Publication History</b>					
Received	09.08.2020				
Revised	15.09.2020				
Accepted	20.09.2020				
Online	30.09.2020				