Research Article

Survey Methodology for Heavy Metals Toxicity Assessment

Sandeep Kumar¹*, Shiv Prasad¹, Manoj Shrivastava¹, Sushil K. Kharia² and Sunita Yadav¹

¹Centre for Environment Science and Climate Resilient Agriculture, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi 110012, India ²College of Agriculture, SKRAU, Bikaner 334006, India

Abstract

In the present study, a comprehensive survey was conducted at five selected locations (Alipur, Shahdara, Kanjhawala, Mehrauli, and Najafgarh) in Delhi. Six vegetables selected of different categories such as root, leafy and fruit vegetables (potato, carrot, tomato, spinach, mustard, and okra) from cultivated areas. A questionnaire survey was conducted to grab the information of consumption pattern. Vegetables consumption information and data were collected at each location from 25 families. Several data, i.e. daily vegetable consumption, number of family members, body weight, male: female ratio and types of vegetables consume were collected from each family for the calculation of toxicity assessment indices. Results indicated that the gross per capita per day vegetable consumption was highest at Shahdara (0.314 kg/capita/day), followed by Najafgarh (0.307 kg/capita/day). It was also observed that the per capita per day consumption of vegetables was highest mostly in the case of potato followed by tomato. During the present study, face to face and online survey methodology used with designed format.

Keywords: Survey, Vegetables, Index, Assessment, Toxicity

*Correspondence Author: Sandeep Kumar Email: sandeep2011iari@gmail.com

Introduction

Vegetables are mostly cultivated in the peri-urban areas to fulfil the growing demand in cities. The sufficient supply of irrigation water to these vegetables is a challenging task in the present time around the cities. Therefore, this everincreasing demand compensated by applying sewage wastewater. The wastewater discharged from industries, and commercial activities are laden with heavy metals [1]. Vegetables being the carrier of metals, when used up by human beings, get ingested into the human body. Toxic metals can be unusually threatening to the human body, even at low levels, as there is no compelling excretion mechanism [2, 3]. Balanced nutrition is a primary human necessity for a healthy lifestyle. A well-balanced diet is a prerequisite for proper growth, development, and to remain active. The nutritional status of consumable products depends on their production system, which decides the health of consumers.

The recommended dietary allowances (RDA) guidelines are nutrient-centred and have technical aspects. Vegetables are eaten more due to the presence of nutritionally essential elements that are vital for human survival and are often known protective foods because they may prevent diseases in humans. Apart from providing nutrients, vegetable offers a host of essential nutrients which have a positive influence on health [4, 5]. Regulations on food quality provide the standards that decreased the maximum permissible levels of toxic metals in food items due to an increased awareness of the risk of metals [6]. Globally, there are many organizations which are responsible for developing regulations for heavy metals in food items, i.e. United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS), Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), and Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [7].

The Expert Committee (EC) of the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), taking into attention the nutrient requirements, has recommended that each individual per day should consume at least 300 g of vegetables (including green leafy vegetables: 50 g; other vegetables: 200 g; Roots & Tubers: 50 g). Total Production of vegetables is about 30% less than the demand of 100 million tones [8]. The amount of vegetables enter into the human body mainly depends on the quantity and types of vegetables consumed per day, type of irrigation source, area of production, and age group of consumers [4]. In US, children and youths consume 1 serving of fruit and 1.3 servings of vegetables per day [9]. In Germany, the average consumption in children (ages of 3 to 17 years) is below the recommended standards. Only 12.2% of girls and 9.4% of boys consume the recommended 5 servings of fruits and vegetables per day [10]. Only 9% of children (11 to 18 age) are consuming the recommended quantities of fruits and vegetables every day in UK [11].

Researchers are using several methods of survey designed as per their ease to work and requirement. There are various types of surveys can be used for study and broadly classified into two categorized (i) according to

instrumentation and (ii) according to the period involved. The types of surveys, according to instrumentation, include the questionnaire and the interview. On the other hand, surveys according to the period used to conduct the study are comprised of cross-sectional surveys and longitudinal surveys (https://explorable.com/types-of-survey). In this study, the survey was based on the questionnaire and the interview (both telephonic and face to face contact). The collection of information's from field is an important step in toxicity assessment. Assessment of toxicity was done through several toxicity indices that required basic consumption and socioeconomic parameters of consumers for a particular site. All the analysis works carried out to study the factors linked with toxicity assessment due to the consumption of vegetables. The novelty in the present work was that survey methodology, and questionnaires were deal and redesign in the simplest manner with toxicity assessment.

Martials and methods

Survey and sampling locations

Delhi city is resided in Northern India, at 28.7041°N 77.1025°E with 216m elevation. It is surrounded by Uttar Pradesh (Gautam Budh Nagar, Ghaziabad, and Baghpat) and by Haryana (Gurugram, Faridabad, Jhajjar, and Sonipat) on three sides. The NCR Delhi covers an area of 1,484 km², of which 783 km² is a rural area and 700 km² urban area. The river Yamuna was the historical boundary between Punjab and UP, and its flood plains provide fertile alluvial soil suitable for agriculture but are prone to intermittent floods. Delhi has a dry-winter humid subtropical climate, including a hot semi-arid climate. Temperatures range from 2 to 47°C with average annual rainfall is around 886mm, which most of which falls during the monsoon season (July-August) [12]. In Delhi NCR, 5 sampling sites were selected for conducted present study as location given in **Figure 1**. All the information about the sites were given in **Table 1**.



Figure 1 Location of selected sites in Delhi for survey

Table 1 Sampling sites with their failude, longitude, and altitude					
Site	District of Delhi	Latitude	Longitude	Altitude	
Alipur	North West	28.797460	77.132991	214.4 m	
Kanjhawala	North West	28.735456	77.002011	213.9 m	
Najafgarh	South West	28.611856	76.981354	219.0 m	
Mehrauli	South West	28.521586	77.179149	260.8 m	
Shahdara	North East	28.700868	77.289846	207.0 m	

DOI:10.37273/chesci.CS205101125 Chem Sci Rev Lett 2020, 9 (34), 516-523 Article cs205101125 517

Vegetables Selected

For the present study, information of 6 vegetables from different categories such as leafy, root, and fruit were collected (Table 2). The consumption pattern of these vegetables was surveyed and calculated per day per capita consumption pattern.

Table 2 Selected vegetables and their category					
Vegetable	Botanical Name	Family	Category	Sampling season	
Potato	Solanum tuberosum L.	Solanaceae	Root	Winter	
Carrot	Daucus carota L.	Apiaceae	Root	Winter	
Tomato	Solanum lycopersicum L.	Solanaceae	Fruit	Winter	
Okra	Abelmoschus esculentus L.	Malvaceae	Fruit	Summer	
Spinach	Spinacia oleracea L.	Chenopodiaceae	Leafy	Winter	
Mustard	Brassica juncea L.	Brassicaceae	Leafy	Winter	

Survey preparation

More than 300 samples of harvested fresh vegetables were collected from selected sites during 2018 and 2019. Samples were collected in triplicate for each vegetable form different silts. These samples were grouped into leafy (spinach and mustard), fruit (okra and tomato) and root vegetables (potato and carrot). All collected samples were stored in clean polythene bags, tagged according to their type and brought to the laboratory for further analyses [13]. A preliminary study with 125 families was carried out on the consumption of vegetables in adults (aged >12 years). Based on the information obtained, a survey was designed in 2 phases (summer and winter) to investigate the consumption pattern of different vegetables.

Both the online and offline/personal (face-to-face conversations) survey was conducted using a well-prepared format of questionnaires [14]. The online questionnaires have been tested and found to be useful because of the ability to collect information from persons of different locations, and because of the low cost compared with offline surveys. A questionnaire with a list of the six most-consumed vegetables (tomato, carrots, spinach, okra, mustard and potato) was prepared for the survey. Families were asked to provide the information about their consumption on per week basis of each vegetable listed in the questionnaire. There was no scale measurement pattern used, such as scale 1 to scale 7. The scale pattern used only to determine the quantity of vegetables consumed per week (from 1 to 6 scale). The weight of individuals was measured by weighing balance on the spot. In the end, the socioeconomic data of each family/member (age, sex, number of persons, male: female ratio, number of children, number of vegetable consumers and any specific problem concerning vegetable consumption) was collected [15].

Survey questionnaires and design

The survey questionnaire was prepared to discuss with the advisory committee and adapted the final approved version. To assess the heavy metal toxicity to consumers, several ground data, and basic information required. The questionnaires were prepared and collected during the study period from each site such as site name, latitude and longitude, name of family head, address and phone number, sex, number of persons, male: female ratio, number of children. no. of vegetable consumers, age of family members, weight of members, type of vegetable used daily, the quantity consumed per day per time, name of the market from vegetables purchased, any problem related to vegetables and vegetables quality issue.

Based on the data obtained in the preliminary inquiry, a survey was designed to investigate the toxicity assessment parameter in the consumers. All the data required to calculate a particular index used from survey forms. The questions are simple and deal with in a very easy way to collect all the necessary information without any loss [15]. The survey was conducted in their own home at each selected location, which probably develops an atmosphere of trust and friendliness. It was requested to the family member to answer by the lady who is dealing with household work such as purchasing and preparation of vegetables.

The data collection sheets were prepared by using standard parameters required for the assessment of the toxicity of metal. Families were randomly selected. The questionnaire requested self-reported information regarding sociodemographic characteristics and consumption pattern. Additionally, some other information also collected like male: female ratio in family and disease regarding information [16]. A total of 125 families were surveyed, 25 at each location including 455 members during 2017-18 and 2018-19.

Health risk assessment (HRA)

Health risk due to the consumption of heavy metals contaminated vegetables can be studied by using the several indices, i.e. Hazard Quotient (HQ), Hazard Index (HI), Daily Intake of Metals (DIM), Health Risk Index (HRI) and Metal Pollution Index (MPI)/ Pollution Load Index (PLI) (Table 3). A hazard quotient is the ratio of the potential exposure to a substance and the level at which no adverse effects are expected or ratio of determined dose to the reference dose (RfD). It is primarily used by US EPA to assess the health risks of toxics [17]. Another critical index related to HQ is the Hazard Index (HI). It is the sum of hazard quotients (HQs) for substances that affect the same target organ or organ system. Daily intake of vegetables in consumers was calculated by data obtained during the survey through a questionnaire [18].

In the present research work, vegetables grown at the contaminated wastewater were collected from the study area, and their metal concentration was used to calculate the health risk index (HRI). The value of HRI depends upon the daily intake of metals (DIM) and oral reference dose (RfD). RfD is an estimated per day exposure of metal to the human body that has no hazardous effect during lifetime [20]. The metal pollution index (MPI) was computed to determine the overall heavy metal concentration in all vegetables analyzed. This index was obtained by calculating the geometrical mean of concentrations of all the metals in the vegetables [21].

~						
Sr. No.	Toxicity assessment index	Parameter required for calculation	Unit	Reference		
1.	Hazard Quotient	Dry weight of vegetables consumed	mg day ⁻¹	17		
	(HQ)	Metal concentration in vegetables	mg day ⁻¹			
		Body weight of consumer	kg			
		Oral reference dose	mg kg ⁻¹ day ⁻¹			
2.	Daily Intake of Metals (DIM)	Metal concentration in vegetables	mg day ⁻¹	18		
		Daily intake of vegetable	kg			
		Conversion factor	-			
3.	Health Risk Index	Daily intake of vegetable	kg	19		
	(HRI)	Oral reference dose	mg kg ⁻¹ day ⁻¹			
4.	Metal Pollution Index (MPI)	Metal concentration in vegetables	mg day-1	20		

Results and discussion

In this study, initially sites were selected for vegetable collection and then after families were chosen for survey. The survey was conducted at all the selected sites with almost 25 families per site. Initially face to face method was carried out for survey to buildup trust and reliability of data. The vegetable consumption data were collected from each site, i.e., Alipur, Shahdara, Mehrauli, Kanjhawala and Najafgarh through survey. From each site, 25 families were selected randomly to collect data (Table 4 and 5). In Alipur, Shahdara, Mehrauli, Kanjhawala and Najafgarh data of 103, 92, 99, 90 and 71 individuals were collected respectively regarding total vegetable consumption per week, total number of consumers, body weight and age. At all the sites, weight was measured but in calculation the average standard weight was used for male 55 kg and for female 45kg (Table 6). The oral reference doses of metals were already established by WHO, such as for As, Cd, Cr, Hg and Pb is 0.0003, 0.001, 0.003, 0.000035 and 0.0035 mg/kg bw/day respectively (Table 7) (USEPA IRIS, 2006). The moisture content in vegetables was 80, 95, 89, 91, 88 and 91% in potato, tomato, spinach, okra, carrot and mustard respectively. The conversion factor 0.085 was used to convert fresh weight of vegetables into dry weight.

Table 4 Number of families, family members and vegetable consumers at selected sites

Site	No. of family	Vegetable
	surveyed	consumers
Alipur	25	92
Kanjhawala	25	82
Najafgarh	25	97
Mehrauli	25	85
Shahdara	25	70
Total	125	426

Site	Family	Total	Total number	Net vegetable	Per capita/day	Per capita
	surveyed	number	of non-	consumer	consumption	consumption
		of family	vegetable	••	(kg)	/dav
		member	consumer		8/	(kg/day)*
Alipur	25	103	Potato – 11,	Potato -92 ,	Potato - 0.064,	0.279
-			Okra – 22,	Okra – 81,	Okra - 0.039,	
			Tomato – 0,	Tomato – 103,	Tomato - 0.053,	
			Spinach – 20,	Spinach – 83,	Spinach - 0.039,	
			Carrot – 11,	Carrot – 92,	Carrot – 0.045,	
			Mustard - 30	Mustard - 73	Mustard - 0.039	
Shahdara	25	92	Potato -10 ,	Potato -82 ,	Potato -0.057 ,	0.314
			Okra – 2,	Okra – 90,	Okra – 0.050,	
			Tomato -0 ,	Tomato – 92,	Tomato – 0.050,	
			Spinach -3 ,	Spinach – 89,	Spinach – 0.053,	
			Carrot - 0,	Carrot – 92,	Carrot – 0.054,	
			Mustard -9	Mustard - 83	Mustard -0.048	
Mehrauli	25	99	Potato -2 ,	Potato – 97,	Potato -0.053 ,	0.291
			Okra – 0,	Okra – 99,	Okra – 0.052,	
			Tomato – 0,	Tomato – 99,	Tomato – 0.056,	
			Spinach -2 ,	Spinach – 97,	Spinach – 0.042,	
			Carrot – 8,	Carrot – 91,	Carrot – 0.041,	
			Mustard - 33	Mustard - 66	Mustard -0.048	
Kanjhawala	25	90	Potato -5 ,	Potato – 85,	Potato – 0.066,	0.299
			Okra – 3,	Okra – 87,	Okra – 0.058	
			Tomato – 0,	Tomato – 90,	Tomato – 0.050,	
			Spinach – 2,	Spinach – 88,	Spinach – 0.042,	
			Carrot – 6,	Carrot – 84,	Carrot – 0.048,	
			Mustard - 15	Mustard - 75	Mustard -0.034	
Najafgarh	25	71	Potato – 1,	Potato – 70,	Potato – 0.066,	0.307
			Okra – 5,	Okra – 66,	Okra – 0.051,	
			Tomato – 4,	Tomato – 67,	Tomato – 0.053,	
			Spinach – 3,	Spinach – 68,	Spinach – 0.044,	
			Carrot - 2,	Carrot – 69,	Carrot – 0.061,	
			Mustard - 49	Mustard - 22	Mustard -0.031	
*This is the t	a4a1 ama avant	-f (1 + - 1				

Table 5 Selected families and surveyed information

*This is the total amount of 6 selected vegetables consumed per capita per day

 Table 6 Basic information for toxicity assessment

Parameter	Unit	Value
Average body weight	kg	Male – 55, Female – 45
Conversion factor	-	0.085
Male: Female ratio*	-	Alipur – 64: 39
		Shahdara – 53: 39
		Mehrauli – 65: 34
		Kanjhawala – 55: 35
		Najafgarh – 47: 24
*It is the ratio of male and female	in selected	25 families member at each location

Table 7 Oral reference dose of heavy metals [20]			
Heavy metals studied	Oral reference dose (RfD)		
	(mg/kg bw/day)		
As	0.0003		
Cd	0.001		
Cr	0.003		
Hg	0.000035		
Ph	0.0035		

In Alipur, the total vegetable consumption per week was 41kg, 22kg, 38.5kg, 22.5kg, 29kg, and 20kg of potato, okra, tomato, spinach, carrot, and mustard respectively. The per capita per day consumption of leafy vegetable (mustard) is lowest (39g/day) and of root vegetable (potato) is highest (64g) at this site. At Alipur, the male: Female ratio was observed 64: 39. In Shahdara, the total vegetable consumption per week was 33kg, 31.7kg, 32.5kg, 33.3kg, 35kg, and 27.8kg of potato, okra, tomato, spinach, carrot, and mustard respectively. The per capita per day consumption of leafy vegetable (mustard) is lowest (48g/day) and of root vegetable (potato) is highest (57g) at this site. At Shahdara, the male: Female ratio was observed 53: 39. In Mehrauli, the total vegetable consumption per week was 36kg, 36kg, 38.5kg, 28.5kg, 26.3kg, and 22kg of potato, okra, tomato, spinach, carrot, and mustard respectively. The lowest and highest per capita per day consumption was found in the case of root vegetable (carrot) 41g/day and in fruit vegetable (tomato) 57g, respectively at this site. At Mehrauli, the male: Female ratio was observed 65: 34. All the data regarding to quantity of vegetables consumed per week and vegetable consumption order are presented in **Table 8**.

Site Vegetable selected		Quantity of vegetables	Order of vegetable consumption
	for study	consumed per week	
		(kg/week/25 families)	(kg/capita/day basis)
Alipur	Potato, Tomato, Okra, Carrot,	Potato -41 , Okra -22 ,	Potato > Tomato > Carrot > Okra
	Mustard and Spinach	Tomato - 38.5, Spinach - 22.5,	pprox Spinach $pprox$ Mustard
		Carrot – 29, Mustard - 20	
Shahdara	Potato, Tomato, Okra, Carrot,	Potato – 33, Okra - 31.75,	Potato > Carrot > Spinach > Okra
	Mustard and Spinach	Tomato - 32.5, Spinach - 33.25,	\approx Tomato > Mustard
		Carrot – 35, Mustard - 27.75	
Mehrauli	Potato, Tomato, Okra, Carrot,	Potato – 36, Okra – 36,	Tomato > Potato > Okra >
	Mustard and Spinach	Tomato - 38.5, Spinach - 28.5,	Mustard > Spinach > Carrot
		Carrot - 26.25, Mustard - 22	
Kanjhawala	Potato, Tomato, Okra, Carrot,	Potato – 39, Okra - 35.5,	Potato > Okra > Tomato > Carrot
	Mustard and Spinach	Tomato - 31.5, Spinach – 26,	> Spinach > Mustard
		Carrot - 28.5, Mustard - 18	
Najafgarh	Potato, Tomato, Okra, Carrot,	Potato - 32.5, Okra – 23.75,	Potato > Carrot > Tomato > Okra
	Mustard and Spinach	Tomato – 25, Spinach - 20.75,	> Spinach > Mustard
		Carrot - 29.50. Mustard - 4.75	

Table 8 Selected sites, quantity of vegetables consumed per week and vegetable consumption order

In Kanjhawala, the total vegetable consumption per week was 39kg, 35.5kg, 31.5kg, 26kg, 28.5kg, and 18kg of potato, okra, tomato, spinach, carrot, and mustard respectively. The lowest and highest per capita per day consumption was found in the case of leafy vegetable (mustard) 34g/day and in root vegetable (potato) 66g, respectively, at this site. At Kanjhawala, the male: Female ratio was observed 55: 35. In Najafgarh, the total vegetable consumption per week was 32.5kg, 23.7kg, 25kg, 20.7kg, 29.5kg, and 4.75kg of potato, okra, tomato, spinach, carrot, and mustard respectively. The lowest and highest per capita per day consumption was found in the case of leafy vegetable (mustard) 31g/day and in root vegetable (potato) 66g, respectively at this site. At Najafgarh, the male: Female ratio was observed 47: 24

Per capita per day consumption rate of selected vegetables was observed highest in the case of potato at all the sites except Mehrauli, where the tomato was consumed in higher amount (**Figure 2**). Tomato was second highest consumed vegetable at most of the sites. Mustard was consumed lowest at most of the sites except Mehrauli. The consumption order of selected vegetables given in Table 9. In selected vegetables, we observed that consumption of root vegetables especially potato was higher as compared to other vegetables. The consumption pattern of vegetables determines the risk assessment in further studies of heavy metals analysis. The type of vegetables consumption pattern also determines the requirement or demands some specific vegetables in particular.

During this survey, mostly focused on the face to face interaction process to collected the data required. The selection of a family is a most important thing for this survey process. The accurate data and information required should be come with reliable person, who engaged with household work. We mainly focused on women to collect information regarding to vegetable consumption. The standard questionnaires format and ease to applicability also determine the progress and swiftness of study. There are lot of hurdles in survey study such as repo and trust building, level of education of key person, reachability to the areas, transport facility and the existence of problem. Besides these hurdles, we have to overcome and focus to survey methodology with association to experts and population among which survey was conduct.



Figure 2 Per capita per day consumption rate of selected vegetables

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the Post Graduate School and Director, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India, for providing all the support and facilities. We are also thankful to the Centre for Environment Science and Climate Resilient Agriculture (CESCRA), Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, for providing necessary facilities for undertaking this study.

References

- [1] Shrivastava, M. Kumar, S. Yadav, S. Manasvini, K. L. 2019. Deficiency and Toxicity of Trace Elements in Salt-affected Soils. Indian Society of Soil Salinity and Water Quality (Registered under Societies Registration Act XXI of 1860) Editorial Board, 11(1), pp.31-44.
- [2] Ghosh, A. K. Bhatt, M. A. Agrawal, H. P. 2012. Effect of long-term application of treated sewage water on heavy metal accumulation in vegetables grown in Northern India. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 184: 1025-36.
- [3] Maurya, P.K. Malik, D.S. Yadav, K.K. Kumar, A. Kumar, S. Kamyab, H. 2019. Bioaccumulation and potential sources of heavy metal contamination in fish species in River Ganga basin: Possible human health risks evaluation. Toxicology reports.
- [4] Kumar, S. Prasad, S. Yadav, K. K. Shrivastava, M. Gupta, N. Nagar, S. Bach, Q. V. Kamyab, H. Khan, S.A. Yadav, S. Malav, L.C. 2019. Hazardous heavy metals contamination of vegetables and food chain: Role of sustainable remediation approaches-A review. Environmental Research 108792.
- [5] Gupta, N. Yadav, K. K. Kumar, V. Kumar, S. Chadd, R.P. Kumar, A. 2018. Trace elements in soil-vegetables interface: translocation, bioaccumulation, toxicity and amelioration-a review. Science of the Total Environment 651(2): 2927-42.
- [6] Suruchi, Khanna, P. 2011. Assessment of heavy metal contamination in different vegetables grown in and around urban areas. Research Journal of Environmental Toxicology 5:162–79.
- [7] Tyagi, R. 2014. Assessment of the uptake of toxic heavy metals on cultivation of vegetables of family Solanaceae in contaminated soil. PhD. diss., University of Kota, Kota.
- [8] Mukherjee, A. Dutta, S. Goyal, T.M. 2016. India's Phytonutrient Report. New Delhi: Academic Foundation.
- [9] McGuire, S. 2013. State Indicator report on fruits and vegetables, 2013, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA. Adv Nutr. 4(6): 665–6.
- [10] Borrmann, A. Mensink, G.B. 2015. Fruit and vegetable consumption by children and adolescents in Germany: results of KiGGS wave 1. Bundesgesundheitsblatt, Gesundheitsforschung, Gesundheitsschutz 58(9):1005–14.
- [11] Weichselbaum, E. Buttriss, J.L. 2014. Diet, nutrition and schoolchildren. Nutrition Bulletin. 39: 9-73.
- [12] ESD (Economic Survey of Delhi). 2005-2006. Planning Department, Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi. pp. 1-7.

- [13] Ali, M.H. Al-Qahtani, K.M. 2012. Assessment of some heavy metals in vegetables, cereals and fruits in Saudi Arabian markets. The Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research 38(1): 31-37.
- [14] INDDEX Project. 2018. Data 4 Diets: Building Blocks for Diet-related Food Security Analysis. Tufts University, Boston, MA. https://inddex.nutrition.tufts.edu/data4diets. Accessed on 21 October 2019.
- [15] Raggio, L. Gámbaro, A. 2018. Study of the reasons for the consumption of each type of vegetable within a population of school-aged children. BMC public health 18(1): 1163.
- [16] Krebs-Smith, S. M. Heimendinger, J. Subar, A. F. Patterson, B. H. Pivonka, E. 1995. Using food frequency questionnaires to estimate fruit and vegetable intake: association between the number of questions and total intakes. Journal of Nutrition Education 27(2): 80-85.
- [17] USEPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). 1989. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund: Human Health Evaluation Manual [Part A]: Interim Final. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, USA [EPA/540/1-89/002].
- [18] Chary, N.S. Kamala, C.T. Raj, D.S.S. 2008. Assessing risk of heavy metals from consuming food grown on sewage irrigated soils and food chain transfer. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Safety. 69: 513–524.
- [19] Jan, F.A. Ishaq, M. Khan, S. Ihsanullah, I. Ahmad, I. Shakirullah, M. 2010. A comparative study of human health risks via consumption of food crops grown on wastewater irrigated soil (Peshawar) and relatively clean water irrigated soil (lower Dir). J. Hazard. Mater. 179: 612–621.
- [20] USEPA IRIS. 2006. United States, Environmental Protection Agency, Integrated Risk Information System. http://www.epa.gov/iris/substS.
- [21] Ghosh, R. Xalxo, R. Ghosh, M. 2013. Estimation of heavy metal in vegetables from different market sites of tribal based Ranchi City through ICP-OES and to assess health risk. Curr. World Environ. 8(3): 435-444.

© 2020, by the Authors. The articles published from this journal are distributed	Publication History	
to the public under "Creative Commons Attribution License" (http://creative	Received	14.05.2020
commons.org/licenses/by/3.0/). Therefore, upon proper citation of the original	Revised	02.06.2020
work, all the articles can be used without any restriction or can be distributed in	Accepted	16.06.2020
any medium in any form. For more information please visit www.chesci.com.	Online	30.06.2020