### **Research Article**

# Genetic Variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance in Tomato (Solanum Lycopersicon L.)

Radhe Shyam Kherwa<sup>1</sup>\*, S. S. Solankey<sup>1</sup>, Bhag Chand Shivran<sup>2</sup>, Ritu Kumari<sup>1</sup> and Manju Kumari<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Horticulture (Vegetable& Floriculture), Bihar Agricultural College, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur-813210, Bihar, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Horticulture, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University Lucknow-226 025

#### Abstract

The experiment was conducted during Autumn-Winter Season, at the Vegetable Research Area, Bihar Agriculture University, Sabour, Bhagalpur (Bihar). Genotypic variability, heritability and genetic advance for biochemical and biochemical contributing characters were studied on 29 tomato genotypes (including cultivated and wild species). Data were recorded on seven characters viz., Total Soluble Solids, Titratable acidity, Ascorbic acid content, Lycopene content, Total carotenoids content, Total phenolics content and Total antioxidant capacity. Very little differences were observed between genotypic coefficient of variation and phenotypic coefficient of variation for all the characters. Total Antioxidant Capacity showed the highest genotypic and phenotypic variance (33.98% and 34.01%) whereas TSS showed the lowest (6.84% and 7.48%). Higher GCV and PCV were recorded for most of the characters indicating higher magnitude of variability for these characters. The highest heritability (broad senses) estimates were observed for Titratable Acidity (100%) and Total Antioxidant Capacity (100%) while the lowest was for the TSS (84%).

Highest genetic advance as percent of mean was recorded for (69.93%) and lowest for TSS (12.90%). The exploration of genetic variability in the available germplasm is a prerequisite in a breeding programme for effective selection of superior genotype of tomato.

**Keywords:** Tomato, GCV, PCV, heritability, genetic advance

#### \*Correspondence

Author: Radhe Shyam Kherwa Email: radhekherwa92@gmail.com

### Introduction

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) is an annual crop belonging to the family Solanaceae. The genus *Lycopersicon* consists of annual or short-lived perennial herbaceous plants. Tomato is a typical day neutral plant and is mainly self-pollinated, but a certain percentage of cross-pollination also occurs [1]. It is a warm season crop reasonably resistant to heat and drought and grows under wide range of soil and climatic conditions. Although tomato has a good potential to be cultivated every location in the universe but it confronts many abiotic stresses in which, high temperature is a crucial problem now a days [2]. It is known as protective vegetable due to its nutritional values, which protects human body from several ailments. It is also most extensively used vegetable in processing industry. Tomato ranks first in canned vegetables. Ripe fresh tomato fruit is consumed fresh as salads and consumed after cooking and utilized in the preparation of range of processed products such as puree, paste, powder, ketchup, sauce, soup and canned whole fruits. Unripe green fruits are used for preparation of pickles and chutney. Tomatoes are important source of lycopene (an antioxidant), ascorbic acid and b-carotene and valued for their color and flavor. The area and production of tomato has been increasing constantly over the years. India is the second largest producer of tomato next to China [3]. The basic key to bring about genetic improvement to a crop is to utilize the available or created genetic variability. If the variability in the population is largely due to genetic cause with least environmental effect, the probability of isolating superior genotype is possible [4].

The variability available in the population can be partitioned into heritable and non-heritable component viz., phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation, heritability and genetic advance on which selection can be effectively carried out [5]. Heritability denotes the proportion of phenotypic variation due to genotypes thus help the breeders to select the elite variety for a character. However, heritability indicates only the effectiveness in which selection of a genotype can be based on phenotypic performance but it fails to indicate the expected genetic progress in one cycle of selection. High heritability alone is not enough to make efficient selection in segregating generations, unless information is accompanied for substantial amount of genetic advance. Genetic advance denotes the improvement in the mean genotypic values of selected families over base population and thus helps the breeder to select the progenies in the earlier generation itself [3].

# **Materials and Methods**

The experimental materials consisted of 29 tomato genotypes along with one F1 commercial check *i.e.* BSS- 488 and these were laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with 3 replications. Transplanting was done at the spacing of  $60 \times 60$  cm. Data were recorded for 7 biochemical characters viz, Total Soluble Solids (%), Titratable acidity (%), Ascorbic acid content (mg/100g FW), Lycopene content (mg/100g FW), Total carotenoids content (mg/100g FW), Total phenolics content (mg catechol equivalent /100g FW) and Total antioxidant capacity (µ mol/TE/g). Analysis of variance was done based on RBD for each of the characters separately. The phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variance was estimated according to [6] and [7]. Heritability in broad sense was estimated according to [8] and genetic advance was estimated as per [9].

# **Results and Discussion**

# Genetic variability

The mean performance of 29 genotypes for seven characters exhibited a wide range of variations and the mean performances were observed for all the traits (Table 1). Analysis of variance was carried on various biochemical and biochemical contributing traits for studying the variation. Variance due to genotypes were highly significant for all the characters studied, indicating that the genotypes selected for the present study were genetically different. The estimation of these variances showed that genotypic variations contributed maximum to the phenotypic variations for all the traits studied (Table 3). The analysis of variance revealed significant mean square estimates for all the characters indicating sufficient genetic difference among the genotypes. The variation in the genotypes would be helpful in the development of superior varieties in further breeding programme.

Sr.	Characters	Mean Sum of S	Mean Sum of Squares						
No.		Replication	Treatment	Parents	Hybrids	Parents vs.	Error		
		( <b>df</b> = 2)	( <b>df=27</b> )	( <b>df=6</b> )	( <b>df= 20</b> )	Hybrids (df=1)	(df= 54)		
1	TSS	0.00	0.39**	0.35**	0.36**	1.07**	0.02		
2	ТА	0.00	0.02**	0.01**	0.02**	0.00**	0.00		
3	AA	0.27	51.06**	22.10**	60.82**	29.62**	0.80		
4	TPC	0.08	150.12**	61.30**	146.78**	749.86**	5.27		
5	T.A	0.00	2.68**	2.83**	2.76**	0.00	0.00		
6	LC	0.01	0.94**	0.83**	1.01**	0.24**	0.01		
7	T.C.	0.01	1.29**	1.14**	1.32**	1.55**	0.02		

\* \*\*Significant at 5 % level of significance and Significant at 1 % level of significance, respectively. Characters: Total soluble solid (TSS), Ascorbic acid content (AA), Titrable acidity (TA), Total phenol content (TPC) and Total antioxidant capacity (A.A), lycopene content (LC), Total carotenoids (TC).

The phenotypic coefficient of variance (PCV) ranged from 7.48% to 34.01% and the maximum variance was recorded for Total antioxident capacity (34.01%) followed by Total carotenoids content (22.07%) and Titratable acidity (18.61%) whereas the lowest was recorded for TSS (7.48%) followed by Total phenolics content (12.37%) and Ascorbic acid content (13.66%) (Table 3). The genotypic coefficient of variance (GCV) ranged from 6.84% to 33.98%. High GCV was observed for Total antioxidant capacity (33.98%) followed by Total carotenoids content (21.94%) and Titratable acidity (18.58%) whereas the lowest GCV was recorded in TSS (6.84%) followed by Total phenolics content (11.75%) and Ascorbic acid content (13.36%) (Table 3). The investigation revealed that the phenotypic variation was high as compared to genotypic variation for all the traits studied indicating the influence of environment and it was found maximum for Total antioxident capacity and total carotenoids content. A similar result was observed by [10] and [11]. Wide difference between GCV and PCV implied its susceptibility to environmental fluctuation.

### Heritability and Genetic Advance

As per the classification given by [12] for broad sense heritability (h2b) measurement, all the characters were shows high h2b. Highest heritability was found in total titrable acidity (100%) and Total Antioxidant Capacity (100%). Moreover for other biochemical traits like lycopene content (98%) and ascorbic acid content (96%) also expressed high broad sense heredity. The lowest heritability found in Total Soluble Solids (84%) and in Total phenolics content

### Chemical Science Review and Letters

(90%) [13], [14], [15] and [16] observed similar findings. Such high values of heritability for all characters imply that it may be due to environment influence and selection based on phenotypic performance would be reliable.

Genotypes	A.A(mg/10	TCC(mg/10	LC(mg/10	ΤΑϹ(μ	<b>TA(%</b>	TPC(mg	TSS(%
	0g Fw)	0g Fw)	0g Fw)	Mol/Te/ G)	)	Catechol Equivale nt /100g Fw)	)
Pusa Rohin×H-86	24.98	3.34	2.85	2.58	0.35	56.45	4.77
Pusa Rohin×CLNB	32.91	4.44	4.11	3.95	0.44	70.51	5.50
Pusa Rohin×Arka Ahuti	29.98	4.02	2.90	1.34	0.36	56.29	5.07
Pusa Rohin×ArkaVikas	26.95	3.60	2.52	2.93	0.29	62.19	4.67
Pusa Rohin×Arka Abha	35.94	3.15	3.49	3.16	0.42	51.73	5.10
PusaRohin×S.pimpinellifoli	23.06	2.81	2.66	1.25	0.41	63.41	5.40
um	25.07	0.10	2 (7	2.00	0.50	75.04	5.40
H-86×CLNB	35.07	2.18	2.67	3.09	0.53	75.24	5.43
H-86×Arka Ahuti	32.85	3.13	3.11	3.54	0.55	67.74	4.67
H-86×Arka Vikas	29.95	3.00	2.52	2.03	0.47	65.92	4.70
H-86×Arka Abha	28.40	3.42	2.50	3.34	0.34	59.08	4.57
H-86×S.pimpinellifolium	30.67	2.38	2.12	3.58	0.30	53.64	4.90
CLNB×Arka Ahuti	35.21	3.13	3.57	1.67	0.25	69.77	5.10
CLNB×ArkaVikas	33.41	3.33	3.28	3.94	0.40	65.88	5.00
CLNB×Arka Abha	34.74	3.02	4.16	3.73	0.30	73.73	5.37
CLNB×S.pimpinellifolium	35.15	2.82	2.34	2.93	0.43	52.02	5.30
Arka Ahuti×ArkaVikas	25.71	2.02	2.79	1.65	0.44	68.06	5.00
Arka Ahuti×Arka Abha	30.20	3.21	3.28	2.36	0.44	61.24	5.27
Arka	28.20	2.10	3.10	2.97	0.45	57.21	5.50
Ahuti×S.pimpinellifolium							
Arka Vikas×Arka Abha	42.70	4.36	3.73	3.35	0.28	58.07	5.60
ArkaVikas×S.pimpinellifoli um	32.00	3.40	2.47	4.83	0.47	61.89	4.37
ArkaAbha×S.pimpinellifoli	34.09	2.58	3.64	3.95	0.47	55.25	5.00
um							
Pusa Rohini	31.51	3.55	2.69	2.73	0.37	52.06	4.83
H-86	28.48	2.14	2.28	2.35	0.42	61.99	4.73
CLNB	34.09	2.95	3.17	3.53	0.40	57.75	5.07
Arka Ahuti	29.06	2.09	2.88	1.16	0.40	50.87	4.50
Arka Vikash	28.32	3.28	3.68	3.57	0.32	56.82	4.33
Arka Abha	26.73	3.32	3.38	3.54	0.34	57.78	4.77
Solanum pimpinellifolium	32.94	2.29	2.32	3.93	0.42	49.53	5.37
BSS-488	25.77	4.03	2.74	1.15	0.46	50.49	5.10
Mean	31.00	3.07	3.00	2.90	0.40	60.09	5.00
C.V.	2.84	4.35	2.82	1.47	1.03	3.86	3.01
S.E.	0.51	0.08	0.05	0.02	0.00	1.34	0.09
C.D. 5%	1.44	0.22	0.14	0.07	0.01	3.80	0.25
Characters: Ascorbic acid cont	tent (AA), Total		nt (TCC), lycor				

Total antioxidant capacity (TAC), Titrable acidity (TA), Total phenol content (TPC), Total soluble solid (TSS).

Table 3: Genetic performance of 29 genotypes of tomato						
Characters	General	GCV	PCV	h <sup>2</sup> (Broad	Gen. Adv as %	
	Mean	(%)	(%)	sense) %	of Mean 5%	
TSS (°brix)	5.00	6.84	7.48	84	12.90	
Titratable Acidity (%)	0.40	18.58	18.61	100	38.22	
Ascorbic Acid Content (mg/100g Fw)	31.00	13.36	13.66	96	26.93	
Total Phenolics Content (mg Catechol Equivalent	60.09	11.75	12.37	90	23.00	

DOI:10.37273/chesci.CS20510156

*Chem Sci Rev Lett* 2020, 9 (34), 398-402 Article cs20510156 400

Chemical Science Review and Letters	ISSN 2278-6783				
/100g Fw)					
Total Antioxidant Capacity (µ Mol/Te/G)	2.90	33.98	34.01	100	69.93
Lycopene Content (mg/100g Fw)	3.00	18.36	18.58	98	37.39
Total Carotenoids Content (mg/100g Fw)	3.07	21.94	22.07	96	43.70
Character: GCV = Genotypic coefficient of variation, PCV= Phenotypic coefficient of variation, H= Heritability,					
Gen. Adv. = Genetic Advance					

The maximum genetic advance (%) was recorded for Total antioxidant capacity (69.93%) followed by Total carotenoids content (43.70%) and medium genetic advance was found in TSS (12.90%). Similar result found by [17], [18] and in general, the degree of success in selection depends upon the magnitude of the heritability. Furthermore, the progress in the selection is also directly proportional to the amount of genetic advance. Therefore, the effect of selection is realized more quickly in those characters which have high heritability as well as high genetic advance perusal of data (Table 3), high heritability along with high genetic advance have also been reported for most of the quality and quality attributing traits by [19].

# Conclusion

The information generated from this study can be exploited for further breeding programme in tomato, on heritability and genetic advance revealed that high heritability coupled with high genetic advance (>20%) were recorded for all traits except for TSS (12.90%) Thus, these traits which exhibited high heritability in broad sense and high expected genetic advance as percent of mean may be considered to be largely governed by additive gene action therefore; it could be effectively improved through selection.

### Reference

- [1] Kherwa, R. S., Solankey, S. S., Akhtar, S., Kumar, A. and. Kumari, R. 2018. Genetic studies of wild and cultivated tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) genotypes. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 7: 2568-2574.
- [2] Somraj, B., Reddy, R.V.S.K., Reddy, K. R., Saidaiah, P. and Reddy, M. T. 2017. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and quality attributes in heat tolerant exotic lines of tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 6(4): 1956-1960.
- [3] Sidhya, P., Koundinya, A. V. V., Pandit, M. K. 2014. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in tomato. Environment & Ecology. 32 (4B): 1737–1740.
- [4] Khanom, M. S. R., Khan, M. H. K. and Hassan, L. 2008. Variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and yield contributing characters in tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.). Progress Agriculture. 19(1): 1-5.
- [5] Saravanan, K. R., Vishnupriya, V., Prakash, M. and Anandan, R. 2019. Variability, heritability and genetic advance in tomato genotypes. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 53(1): 92-95.
- [6] Johnson, W. W., Robinson, H. F. and Comstock, R. E. 1955. Genotypic and phenotypic correlation in Soybeans and their implications in selection. Agronomy Journal. 47: 477-482.
- [7] Al-Jibouri, H. A., Miller, P. A. and Robinson, H. F. 1958. Genetic and environmental variances and covariances in an upland cotton cross of interspecific origin. Agronomy Journal. 50: 633-636.
- [8] Lush, J. L. 1940. Inter-size correlation regression of offspring on dairy as a method of estimating heritability of characters. American Mathematic Society. 33: 293- 301.
- [9] Lush. 1949. Heritability of Quantitative Characters in Farm Animals. Hereditas. 35(S1): 1949.
- [10] Singh, N., Ram, N. C., Deo, C., Yadav, C. G. and Singh, P. D. 2015. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.). Plant Archives. 15(2): 705-709.
- [11] Kumar, R., Singh, S. K., Srivastava, K. and Singh, R. K. 2015. Genetic variability and character association for yield and quality traits in tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.). Agriways. 3 (1): 31-36.
- [12] Robinson, H. F., Comstock, R. E. and Harvey, P. 1966. Quantitative genetics in relation to breeding on the centennial of Mendelism. Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding. 26: 171-177.
- [13] Dar, R. A. and Sharma, J. P. 2011. Genetic variability studies of yield and quality traits in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.). International Journal of Plant Breeding & Genetics. 5 (2): 168-174.
- [14] Shankar, A., Reddy, R.V.S.K., Sujatha, M., Pratap, M. 2013. Genetic association analysis for yield and quality traits in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.). International Journal of Innovative Horticulture. 2(1):70-77.
- [15] Meena, R. K., Kumar, S., Meena, M.L. and Verma, S. 2018. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and quality attributes in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 7(1): 1937-1939.

**Chemical Science Review and Letters** 

- [16] Tasisa, J., Belew, D., Bantte, K. and Gebreselassie, W. 2011. Variability, heritability and genetic advance in tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.) genotypes in west shoe Ethiopia. American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences. 11 (1): 87-94.
- [17] Reddy, B. R., Reddy, D. S., Reddaiah, K., Sunil, N. 2013. Studies on genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and quality traits in Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2(9): 238-244.
- [18] Anitha, P. Studies on genetic diversity, screening and identification of parents and hybrids for drought tolerance in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.). Ph.D. (Horti.) thesis. Dr. Y. S. R. Horticultural University. Venkataramannagudem, India.
- [19] Hasan, M. M. M., Abdullah, d., Bari, A. and Hossain, A. M. 2016. Genetic variability and traits association analysis of tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum L.). Universal Journal of Plant Science. 4(3): 23-34.

© 2020, by the Authors. The articles published from this journal are dis	stributed Publication History	Publication History		
to the public under "Creative Commons Attribution License" (http://	creative Received 04.03.202	20		
commons.org/licenses/by/3.0/). Therefore, upon proper citation of the	original Revised 28.04.202	20		
work, all the articles can be used without any restriction or can be distri		20		
any medium in any form. For more information please visit www.ches	<b>ci.com.</b> Online 30.05.202	20		