# **Research Article**

# Functional Properties and Storage Qualities of Developed Complementary Food

## Manisha Dutta\* and Pranati Das

Department of Food Science and Nutrition, College of Community Science, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat-13, Assam, India

# Abstract

The present study was undertaken with an aim of formulating complementary foods made from staple foods with the objective of studying the functional properties and storage qualities of the developed food. The studied was carried out in Assam Agricultural University for one year. Seven formulations were prepared and were analysed for functional properties in terms of bulk density, water holding capacity and viscosity along with storage stability in terms of free fatty acid, peroxide content and sensory evaluation. Analysis of variance was done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences. Functional properties and storage qualities revealed that they differ significantly across 60 days of storage period. Sensory evaluation scores of the formulated complementary foods showed that they had good acceptability scores across the storage period These food mixes may be used for popularizing the formulations among the rural population as a source of nutritious complementary foods and may provide possible opportunities for entrepreneurship development.

#### Keywords: Bulk density,

Complementary foods, Formulation, Functional properties, Storage qualities, Viscosity, Water holding capacity

#### \*Correspondence

Author:Manisha Dutta Email:manishadutta16993@gmail. com

# Introduction

Processed-cereal based complementary food, commonly called as weaning food or supplementary food means foods based on cereals and/or legumes, nuts and edible oilseeds, processed to low moisture content. It shall contain milled cereal and legumes combined not less than 75 per cent and the product is intended to be mixed with milk or water before consumption. All ingredients, including optional ingredients, shall be clean, safe, suitable and of good quality. The material shall be manufactured and packed under hygienic conditions. The flavour and odour of the processedcereal based weaning food in the powder form or when reconstituted with water/milk shall be fresh and sweet [1]. The product shall be packed in containers which will safeguard the hygienic and other qualities of the food and the containers, including packaging materials, shall be made only of substances which are safe and suitable for their intended uses [2]. Nutrient fortified cereals are the first complementary foods introduced to the infant, followed by fruits and vegetables in most developed societies. The use of home based complementary food that can be easily prepared, available and affordable, is one feeding alternative that has been recommended to remove the effect of malnutrition on infant and young children [3]. Complementary foods that are produced only from cereals are deficient in certain essential amino acids (lysine and tryptophan) which are essential for the adequate growth of infant. The essential amino acids are present in reasonable quantities in legumes [4]. Therefore, when legumes are blended with cereals in the right proportions, a mutual complementation of amino acids and consequent improvement in protein quality is achieved [5]. Therefore, the present research study aims to develop suitable food formulations utilizing germinated cereals, pulses, millets and oil seeds and manufacturing them at household level and also for commercial exploitation.

## Methodology

# Sample selection

Four varieties of rice (*Ranjit*, *Rangoli Bao*, Red kernel rice and Black rice), foxtail millet, green gram, Bengal gram, sesame seeds and pumpkin seeds were selected for the study. The samples were processed into flour individually, stored in plastic airtight containers and kept in refrigerated temperature.

# Formulation of the complementary food

Formulation of complementary foods were done in accordance to the standards of Bureau of Indian Standards [1] which states that complementary foods should contain cereals and legumes combined not less than 75% and the product is intended to be mixed with milk or water before consumption. Seven formulations were developed containing rice flour as the major ingredient from the four different varieties and the proportion of other ingredients were kept same in all the treatments.

# Functional properties of the complementary food

Bulk density was determined using the method suggested by Lewis [6]. Viscosity of the sample was determined as per modification method given by Hallic and Kelly [7] by using Brookfield viscometer. The Water Holding Capacity of the sample was determined using the method of Onwuka [8].

#### Storage studies of the formulated complementary food mixes

Peroxide value of the sample was determined by the AOAC [9] method. Free fatty acid content of the samples was determined following the AOAC [10] method. Sensory attributes for the developed formulations were analysed across storage up to 60 days in airtight plastic containers (PET) stored at refrigerated temperature by a panel of 15 judges selected at random from the Department of Food Science and Nutrition and Department of Food Science and Technology, Assam Agricultural University using a score card of nine point Hedonic Rating Scale.

#### Statistical analysis

All the data of the experiment were statistically analysed by Analysis of variance (ANOVA) in completely randomized design performed on the data using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (2006) and the means were tested for significance at 5% probability level. Means were separated using Duncan's multiple comparison tests where applicable.

#### Results

#### Formulation of complementary food mixes

Seven formulations namely  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$ ,  $T_5$ ,  $T_6$  and  $T_7$  were developed containing rice flour as a major ingredient from different varieties of rice - *Ranjit, Rangoli Bao, Kolamai Gutiya Hali* (red kernel rice) and black rice. Other ingredients were foxtail millet, Bengal gram and green gram, sesame and pumpkin seed flour in different proportions. Composition of the formulated complementary food mixes are given in **Table 1**.

TREATMENTS	INGREDIENTS (g)									
	<b>R</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>R</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>R</b> <sub>3</sub>	<b>R</b> <sub>4</sub>	FM	BG	GG	SS	PS	
$T_1$	50	-	-	-	20	10	10	5	5	
$T_2$	-	50	-	-	20	10	10	5	5	
T <sub>3</sub>	-	-	50	-	20	10	10	5	5	
$T_4$	-	-	-	50	20	10	10	5	5	
<b>T</b> 5	25	25	-	-	20	10	10	5	5	
T <sub>6</sub>	25	-	25	-	20	10	10	5	5	
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	25	-	-	25	20	10	10	5	5	

#### Table1. Formulation of the complementary food mixes

 $(R_1=Ranjit, R_2=Rangoli Bao, R_3=Kolamai Gutiya Hali (Red Kernel Rice), R_4=Black Rice, Fm=Foxtail Millet, Bg=Bengal Gram, Gg=Green Gram, Ss=Sesame Seeds, Ps=Pumpkin Seeds)$ 

#### Functional properties of the complementary food

The bulk density and water holding capacity of the formulated complementary food mixes are presented in **Table 2**. The difference in the bulk densities between the formulations could be due to differences in the rice varieties used for

preparation of the food mixes. Studies reported by Ikujenlola and Adurotoye [11] on complementary foods made from mixtures of malted and unmalted Quality Protein Maize and cowpea showed bulk density 0.65 to 0.68 g/ml in malted samples and 0.70 to 0.73 g/ml in unmalted samples. Malting reduces the amount of water available for gelatinization and therefore it is a desirable characteristic for making thinner gruels [12]. The probable reason for the significant variation in water holding capacity among the formulations could be due to difference in the carbohydrate contents of the formulations. Lower carbohydrate content decreases the water absorption capacity for most food systems [13].

Table 2	: Bulk	density	and W	ater h	olding	canacity	of the	formulated	complemen	tary food:
I abit 4	. Duin	uchisity	anu v	attr in	Jung	capacity	or the	iormulateu	complement	tary roou.

		T <sub>1</sub>	$T_2$	T <sub>3</sub>	$T_4$	<b>T</b> <sub>5</sub>	T <sub>6</sub>	$T_7$	CD
									0.05
Bulk (g/ml)	density	0.60±0.00	0.65±0.00°	0.66±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.69±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	$0.62 \pm 0.00^{f}$	0.63±0.00 <sup>e</sup>	$0.64{\pm}0.00^{d}$	0.00
Water capacity (ml/100g	holding	237.0±2.0 a	233.0±3.0 <sup>ab</sup>	227.0±2.0 <sup>ab</sup>	223.0±3.0 <sup>b</sup>	234.5±0.5 <sup>a,b</sup>	232.0±1.0 <sup>a,b</sup>	227.0±3.0 <sup>a,b</sup>	6.09

Values are mean  $\pm$  SD of 3 replications

Means with different superscript within the same row are significantly different at p≤0.05

The cold paste viscosities of formulated complementary food mixes prepared from 10, 20 and 30 per cent slurry concentrations are represented in **Table 3**. The viscosities of the formulations from 10% slurry concentration with spindle-2 ranged from  $152.0\pm2.0$  to  $167.2\pm.02$  mPa.s. The viscosities of the formulations from 20% slurry concentration with spindle-4 ranged from  $1495.0\pm5.0$  to  $1602.5\pm2.5$  mPa.s. The viscosities of the formulations from 30% slurry concentration with spindle-4 ranged from  $3585.0\pm5.0$  to  $3687.5\pm2.5$  mPa.s. The viscosity of the cooked paste of formulated weaning mixes increased significantly (p<0.05) with slurry concentration. Studies reported by Faki (2004) in a study of sorghum based weaning food found that the viscosity of blends containing amylase rich foods were significantly lower (p<0.05) than that of other blends at all comparable slurry concentration. Ikujenlola and Fashakin stated that reduction of viscosity in diets prepared from amylase rich flours is due to starch saccharification or dextrinization caused by the activities of amylases that developed during germination [12].

	Slurry concent ration	Spindl e	T <sub>1</sub>	<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	$T_4$	<b>T</b> 5	T <sub>6</sub>	<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	CD 0.05
Viscos ity	10%	2	165.5±0.5 <sub>a,b</sub>	167.2±0.2	154.2±1 .2 <sup>c,d</sup>	152.0±2.0	166.5±1.5 a	159.2±1.2	161.0±1.0 <sub>a,b,c</sub>	3.29
(mPa. s)	20%	4	1602.5±2. 5 <sup>a</sup>	1582.5±2. 5 <sup>b</sup>	1547.5± 2.5°	1495.0±5. 0 <sup>d</sup>	1587.5±2. 5 <sup>a,b</sup>	1577.7±2. 2 <sup>b</sup>	1547.5±2. 5°	7.92
	30%	4	$3672.5\pm 2.5$ 5 <sup>a,b</sup>	3687.5±2. 5 <sup>a</sup>	3652.5± 2.5°	3585.0±5. 0 <sup>d</sup>	3677.5±2. 5 <sup>a</sup>	3661.0±1. 0 <sup>b,c</sup>	3657.5±2. 5 <sup>b,c</sup>	7.66

# Table 3: Viscosity of the formulated complementary food mixes at different slurry concentration:

Values are mean  $\pm$  SD of 3 replications

Means with different superscript within the same row are significantly different at  $p \le 0.05$ 

#### Storage studies of the formulated complementary food mixes

The shelf life of the formulated complementary food mixes were studied by the content of free fatty acid and peroxide over storage for a period of 0, 30 and 60 days. The mean free fatty acid and peroxide values of the formulations across storage periods are presented in **Table 4**. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) showed that there was a significant increase ( $p \le 0.05$ ) in the mean free fatty acid values and peroxide content across storage. The difference in the free fatty acid content between the formulations may be due to differences in the rice varieties used for the preparation of the food mixes. The increase may be due to the higher moisture content, effect of temperature and presence of bran in the rice samples. Increase in total amount of free fatty acid during storage might be attributed to the activities of lipases and

lipolytic acyl-hydrolases [14]. The findings of the peroxide values in the study were within the standard specified by Prevention of Food Adulteration Rule, 1991 (10 m moles/kg fat). Therefore, the formulated mixes could be considered acceptable in all the storage period. The difference in the peroxide content between the formulations may be due to differences in the rice varieties used for the preparation of the food mixes. The increase in peroxide values during storage is probably due to peroxidation of double bonds in unsaturated fatty acids which respectively breakdown in order to produce secondary oxidation products that may indicate rancidity [15].

Paramete rs	Period of evaluatio n (Days)	T <sub>1</sub>	<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	<b>T</b> <sub>5</sub>	T <sub>6</sub>	<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	CD 0.05
Free fatty acid (%)	0	$3.24 \pm 0.62^{g}$	$3.78\pm0.6 \\ 8^{d}$	$3.32\pm0.2$ $2^{f}$	3.38±0.0 2 <sup>e</sup>	3.90±0.44	5.50±0.3 0 <sup>a</sup>	5.34±0.31	1.70
	30	5.15±0.70 <sup>g</sup>	$5.85\pm 0.2$ $8^{d}$	6.83±0.1 4 <sup>b</sup>	$5.43\pm0.4$ 2 <sup>f</sup>	5.71±0.14 e	$7.25\pm0.2$ $8^{a}$	6.69±0.56 c	1.73
	60	$7.53 \pm 0.28^{d}$	7.25±0.2 8 <sup>e</sup>	$7.81\pm0.2$ $8^{\circ}$	8.09±0.0 b	$6.97 \pm 0.28$	8.23±0.1 4 <sup>a</sup>	$7.53 \pm 0.28$	0.00
Peroxide (m	0	6.0±0.2 <sup>c</sup>	$5.5 \pm 0.10^{f}$	5.55±0.3 5 <sup>e</sup>	$5.65\pm0.5$ $5^{d}$	6.5±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	$6.05 \pm 0.2$ 5 <sup>b</sup>	6.05±0.65 b	0.00
moles/kg fat)	30	7.9±0.02 <sup>c</sup>	7.6±0.2 <sup>e</sup>	7.6±0.2 <sup>e</sup>	$7.75\pm0.4$ $5^{d}$	$8.4{\pm}0.2^{a}$	8.1±0.3 <sup>b</sup>	$7.75 \pm 1.05_{d}$	1.41
	60	9.95±0.15 <sup>b</sup>	9.55±0.1 5 <sup>e</sup>	9.85±0.7 5 <sup>°</sup>	$9.05\pm0.2 \\ 5^{\rm f}$	10.35±0.0 5 <sup>a</sup>	10.35±0. 15 <sup>a</sup>	$9.75 \pm 0.95$	0.00

71114	T 0 11	• 1 1	• •			1 4 1	1 4	•	4
Table4	Free faffy	l acid and	peroyide	values	of formi	ilated	complement	arv mixes	across storage:
I upic ii	I I CC Iutty	uciu unu	peromue	, maco	or ror me	incou	comprement	ary mixes	uciobb biorugei

Values are mean  $\pm$  SD of 3 replications

Means with different superscript within the same row are significantly different at p≤0.05



Figure1. Sensory evaluation in the laboratory of Dept. of Food Science and Nutrition, AAU



Figure1. All the seven formulated complementary food mixes

#### Sensory evaluation of the formulated complementary food mixes across storage

Sensory evaluations of the present formulations across storage are presented in **Table 5**. Data revealed that the mean scores of sensory attributes of the formulations stored in plastic airtight containers (PET) at refrigerated temperature did not change significantly. However, there was a slight decrease in the scores of the sensory attributes across storage of 60 days. At 60 days,  $T_1$  scored highest in colour and overall acceptability,  $T_7$  scored highest in flavour,  $T_4$ scored highest in consistency and  $T_5$  scored highest in appearance and taste. Sensory evaluation scores of the formulated complementary foods showed that they had good acceptability scores till the end of the storage period.

Storage	Quality attributes									
period	Colour	Flavour	Consistency	Appearance	Taste	Overall				
(Days)						acceptability				
			$T_1$							
0	8.13±0.16	$7.26 \pm 0.11$	7.93±0.18	7.93±0.18	$8.06 \pm 0.18$	8.53±0.13				
60	$8.20 \pm 0.14$	$7.20\pm0.22$	7.86±0.16	$7.86 \pm 0.16$	7.93±0.18	8.40±0.19				
			$T_2$							
0	8.13±0.23	7.53±0.13	7.46±0.16	7.73±0.24	$7.66 \pm 0.18$	7.53±0.19				
60	$8.06 \pm 0.20$	$7.40 \pm 0.19$	$7.40 \pm 0.16$	7.73±0.24	7.53±0.13	8.36±0.20				
			$T_3$							
0	7.93±0.22	7.93±0.20	7.86±0.16	7.73±0.24	7.66±0.18	8.40±0.19				
60	7.86±0.16	7.73±0.24	7.73±0.24	7.53±0.13	7.53±0.13	8.28±0.14				
			$T_4$							
0	6.93±0.24	$8.06 \pm 0.20$	8.13±0.09	$7.20\pm0.26$	$7.20 \pm 0.26$	8.20±0.14				
60	6.93±0.24	8.00±0.13	8.06±0.18	7.06±0.26	7.16±0.26	8.13±0.16				
			$T_5$							
0	$8.06 \pm 0.18$	$7.60 \pm 0.13$	8.00±0.13	8.13±0.16	$8.20 \pm 0.17$	7.60±0.21				
60	8.00±0.16	7.53±0.13	$7.93 \pm 0.22$	8.00±0.16	8.13±0.16	7.53±0.13				
			T <sub>6</sub>							
0	7.93±0.15	7.86±0.19	$7.80 \pm 00.14$	$8.00 \pm 0.16$	$7.80 \pm 0.24$	7.40±0.19				
60	7.86±0.16	7.73±0.24	7.73±0.0024	7.93±0.22	7.73±0.24	7.33±0.23				
			<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>							
0	7.06±0.26	8.13±0.19	$7.33 \pm 0.23$	7.20±0.22	7.40±0.19	7.60±0.16				
60	$7.06 \pm 0.26$	$8.06 \pm 0.20$	7.30±0.23	$7.06 \pm 0.26$	7.33±0.23	7.53±0.13				

#### Table5. Sensory evaluation of the formulated complementary food mixes across storage

Results are mean values  $\pm$  SD of 10 replications

#### Conclusion

It is evident from the present study that the formulated complementary food mixes made from easily available and affordable food staples using household processing techniques had desirable functional properties and also showed good results in respect of keeping quality and sensory characteristics. Therefore, the formulations may be used for popularizing among the rural and urban populations as a source of nutritious complementary food and it will provide possible opportunity to any entrepreneuring organisations to adopt the technology of developing these complementary foods.

#### Acknowledgement

With immense pleasure, the authoress takes the privilege to acknowledge her deepest sense of gratitude to Dr.Pranati Das, Professor and Head, Department of Food Science and Nutrition, Faculty of Community Science, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat for her keen interest, enthusiastic encouragement, illuminating guidance and constructive criticism during the course of investigation and preparation of this manuscript. The authoress expresses her gratitude to the members of the Advisory Committee Mrs. Moloya Gogoi, Dr. Manashi Das Purkayastha, Dr.Hemanta Saikia and Directorate of Research, Jorhat for their valuable suggestion and guidance during the course of study.

# Reference

- [1] BIS (2006). Indian Standard processed-cereal based complementary foods-specification (Second Revision), Bureau of Indian Standards ManakBhawan, 9 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg; New Delhi 110002.Foodgrains, Starches & Ready to eat Foods Selection Committee, FAD 16.
- [2] Codex standard for processed cereal-based foods for infants and children. Codex Stand 74- 1981 (amended 1985, 1987, 1989, 1991). Codex Alimentarius vol. 4, 1994.
- [3] Akinola, O.O.; Opreh, O.P. and Hammed, I.A. (2014). Formulation of local ingredient-based complementary food in South-west Nigeria. IOSR Journal of Nursing and Health, 3(6): 57-61.
- [4] Abiose, S.H.; Ikujenlola, A.V. and Abioderin, F.I. (2015). Nutritional quality assessment of complementary foods produced from fermented and malted quality protein maize fortified with soybean flour. Pol. J. Food Nutr. Sci. 65(1): 49-56.
- [5] Ghasemzadeh, R. and Ghavidel, R.A. (2011) Processing and assessment of quality charactristic of cereals legumes composite weaning foods. International Conference on Bioscience, Biochemistry and Bioinformatics (IPCBEE) vol.5, IACSIT Press, Singapore.
- [6] Lewis, M.J. (1987). Physical properties of food and food processing systems. Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester, England, pp. 123-124 and 55-56.
- [7] Hallic, J.V. and Kelly, V.J. (1959). Gelatinisation and pasting characteristics of rice varieties as related to cooking behaviour. Cereal Chem. 36 (4): 91-96.
- [8] Onkuwa, G.I. (2005). Food Analysis and Instrumentation: Theory and Practice. Lagos, Nigeria: Naphtali Prints.
- [9] A.O.A.C. (1970). Official method of analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemist, 11th Edn., Washington, D.C.
- [10] A.O.A.C. (1975). Official method of analysis, Association of Official Agricultural Chemist, 12th Edn. Washington, D.C.
- [11] Ikujenlola, A.V. and Adurotoye, E.A. (2014). Evaluation of Quality Characteristics of High Nutrient Dense Complementary Food from Mixtures of Malted Quality Protein Maize (Zea mays L.) and Steamed Cowpea (Vignaunguiculata). J. Food Process. Technol., 5 (6): 291.
- [12] Ikujenlola, V.A., &Fashakin, J.B. (2005). The physico-chemical properties of a complementary diet prepared from vegetable proteins. J. Food Agri. Env., 3 (3 and 4), 23-26.
- [13] Ukey, A.; Diamond, J.R.; Raheem, A. and Karande, D. (2014). Development of Low Cost Weaning Food by the Incorporation of Drumsticks Leaves Powder and Its Quality Analysis. International Journal of Research in Engineering & Advanced Technology, 2(3): 1-11.
- [14] Molteberg, E.L.; Vogt, G.; Nilsson, A. and Frolich, W. (1995). Effects of Storage and Heat Processing on the Content and Composition of Free Fatty Acids in Oats. Cereal Chem. 72(1):88-93.
- [15] Gahlawat, P. and Sehgal S. (1994). Protein quality of weaning foods based on locally available cereal and pulse combination. Plant Foods Hum.Nutr., 46(3):245-53.

© 2020, by the Authors. The articles published from this journal are distributed	Publication History	
to the public under "Creative Commons Attribution License" (http://creative	Received	20.01.2020
commons.org/licenses/by/3.0/). Therefore, upon proper citation of the original	Revised	10.02.2020
work, all the articles can be used without any restriction or can be distributed in	Accepted	12.02.2020
any medium in any form. For more information please visit www.chesci.com.	Online	23.02.2020