Research Article

Recipes for Production of Litchi Squash and Storage Behavior at Different Conditions

S. K. Purbey*1 and Alemwati Pongener2

¹ICAR-Mahatma Gandhi Integrated Farming Research Institute, Motihari, Bihar, 845429 ²ICAR-National Research Centre on Litchi, Mushahari, Muzaffarpur, Bihar, 842002

Abstract

Litchi fruit is rich source of ascorbic acid, phenols and minerals like potassium, phosphorus etc. The present study was undertaken for development of recipe for production of squash from litchi cv. Shahi fruit and its quality evaluation during storage. Various combinations of juice, sugar syrup/ T.S.S. and ascorbic acid were tried to standardized proper combination for squash. The litchi squash prepared by different combination of recipe were packed in PET (Polyethylene teraphthalate) bottles and stored at ambient (25-37 °C) and refrigerated condition (5-7 °C). It has been found that the best selected recipe (30% litchi juice, 40 to 45°Brix sugar syrup and 25mg ascorbic acid) of litchi squash can be safely stored for a period of 6 months under ambient conditions and 9 months under refrigerated conditions without much change in quality parameters. The various quality parameters increased/ decreased like T.S.S. (40 to 46.35 °B), titratable acidity (0.89 to 0.60 %), ascorbic acid (69.08 to 7.33 mg/100ml) and non enzymatic browning value (0.058 to 0.126) during storage.

The maximum sensory evaluation scores like colour (8.25), taste (8.0), aroma (8.35) and overall acceptability (8.15) was observed under the squash prepared from best combination of recipe even after six month of storage.

Keywords: Ascorbic acid, Juice, litchi, squash, storage

*Correspondence

Author: S. K. Purbey

Email: Skpurbey_nrcl@yahoo.com

Introduction

Litchi is a rich source of vitamins and minerals as well as a powerful antioxidant. Litchis contain Vitamin K, Vitamin B6, and more Vitamin C (more than citrus fruit), Niacin, Riboflavin, Thiamin and Folate. Minerals abundant in the litchi fruit include Magnesium, Iron, Calcium, Copper, Phosphorous and Potassium. It is low in Sodium, saturated fat and cholesterol. They are also purported to have some anti-inflammatory properties, aid in gastrointestinal health, and have as much fiber as an apple [1]. Litchi is highly perishable and susceptible to browning and rotting besides very short period of availability. It is negligibly exploited at post harvest level for processing and value addition of fruits in India [2]. The value addition to fruits and vegetables through processing is as low as 7 percent in India as against 23 percent in China and 88 percent in U.K. This situation would normally encourage effort to develop various litchi products like various beverages (fruits/juices, squash, wines), nut, resin etc.

Storage of litchi and its processing is one of the main challenges for litchi traders and processors because of its browning and discoloration during subsequent storage [3]. To insure year round availability of litchi products and reduce huge post-harvest losses, viable processing technology needs to be developed and promoted to transform raw materials into products particularly squash. This product can be prepared by a medium level entrepreneur and consumer can be benefited by getting tasty and nutritious drink throughout the year. Considering all these facts the present study has been carried out to standardize the recipe of litchi squash for better quality and shelf life.

Materials and Methods

The fully ripe and healthy fruits of litchi cv. Shahi were harvested from experimental block of ICAR-NRC on Litchi, Mushari, Muzaffarpur farm for physio-chemical analysis and juice extraction. The extracted juice was preserved with 750 ppm of sulphur dioxide and 1.0% citric acid. The fresh litchi pulp/ juice was used for preparation of squash by mixing different concentration of juice (25 & 30%), sugar syrup/ TSS (40 & 45 °B) and ascorbic acid (25 & 50 mg/100ml) as given in **Table 1**. A constant amount of potassium metebisulphite (500ppm) was also added to all the treatments. The squash prepared were packed in fresh and clean PET bottles of 700 ml. They were divided into two lots and one lot was stored at ambient storage (25-37 °C) and another at refrigerated storage (5-7 °C).

The physico-chemical and sensory characteristics were analysed at periodic interval (0, 3, 9 months) during storage. The TSS (Total soluble solids) was measured with digital hand refractometer, titratable acidity and ascorbic

acid content of the samples was determined according to Ranganna [4]. Non enzymatic browning was measured in terms of optical density at 420nm by procedure of Ranganna [4]. Nine point hedonic rating [5] for conducting the sensory evaluation of litchi squash. The panel of ten judges comprising of faculty members and young professionals of the National Research Centre on Litchi (NRCL), Muzaffarpur were selected to evaluate the products.

Table 1 Treatment combinations

Treatments	T_1	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅	T ₆	T ₇	T ₈	T ₉	T ₁₀	T ₁₁	T ₁₂
Juice (%)	25	25	25	25	25	24	30	30	30	30	30	30
Sugar Syrup (%)	40	40	40	45	45	45	40	40	40	45	45	45
Ascorbic acid (mg/100 ml)	0	25	50	0	25	50	0	25	50	0	25	50

The experiment was carried out at post harvest laboratory of NRC on Litchi, Muzaffarpur, Bihar. The treatments were laid out in completely randomized design (CRD) for statistical analysis of data on physico-chemical characteristics of squash, where as randomized block design for sensory parameters.

Results and Discussion

Physico-chemical characteristics

The chemical changes of litchi squash during storage are presented in **Tables 2-5**. The various recipes had significant effect on the TSS and ascorbic acid; however the variation in TSS and ascorbic acid content of the squash is due to different level of these constituents maintained initially in the squash. An increasing trend in TSS level (40 to 46.35 °B) was recorded (Table 2 and 3) throughout the storage period; however the rate of increase was slower at refrigerated condition as compare to ambient storage. This slight increase in TSS could be due to hydrolysis of polysaccharides into monosaccharide and disaccharides. Similar results were also observed during storage in the squash prepared from sapota, jackfruit, and pomegranate by Relekar et al. [6], Shwetha and Ranganna [7], Thakur et al. [8]. Irrespective of various concentrations of different constituents of the squash, the titratable acidity showed slight decrease during storage which was higher under ambient storage as compare to refrigerated conditions. This might be attributed to the utilization of acids for converting polysaccharides and hexose sugar in the presence of metal ions as reported by Srinivas et al., [9]. Ascorbic content in the squash decreased significantly during storage; however the decrease was lower under refrigerated conditions (Tables 4 and 5) as ascorbic acid is highly sensitive to heat. T₃, T₆, T₉ and T₁₂, treatments showed highest ascorbic content (45.52, 46.92, 52.09 and 51.67 mg/100ml) at refrigerated condition and 40.42, 40.86, 45.00 and 44.23 mg/100 ml respectively at ambient condition after six months of storage which is due to effect of recipe. The decrease in ascorbic acid content could be attributed to its degradation into dehydro-acorbic acid or furfural during storage. The non enzymatic value increased significantly during storage which was slower under refrigerated conditions than ambient conditions (Tables 4 and 5). The treatments containing ascorbic acid showed less browning as optical density (OD) value was found minimum (0.072 to 0.079) after six month of storage at ambient condition as compared to treatments not having ascorbic acid (0.102 to 0.126). This might be increased rate of oxidation of acids and maillard reaction at room temperature.

Table 2 Change in chemical composition of litchi squash during ambient storage (25-37°C)

Treatments	Total so	luble solids	s (°Brix)	Acidity (%)				
	Storage	period (in	months)	Storage	Storage period (in months)			
	0	3	6	0	3	6		
T_1	40.01	40.35	40.63	0.82	0.70	0.62		
T_2	40.02	40.45	41.10	0.85	0.78	0.75		
T_3	40.00	40.56	41.08	0.89	0.82	0.78		
T_4	45.00	45.64	45.95	0.86	0.69	0.64		
T_5	45.00	45.72	46.08	0.88	0.79	0.76		
T_6	45.01	45.85	46.05	0.89	0.80	0.79		
T_7	40.02	40.33	40.70	0.84	0.71	0.63		
T_8	40.00	40.52	40.76	0.90	0.80	0.77		
T_9	40.01	40.65	40.80	0.88	0.82	0.79		
T_{10}	45.02	45.60	45.81	0.86	0.68	0.60		
T_{11}	45.00	45.74	46.18	0.90	0.79	0.75		
T_{12}	45.01	45.84	46.35	0.91	0.81	0.78		
CD @ 5%	Tr 0.37	, Period-0.2	28, T x P-NS	Tr 0.02	20, Period-0.0	019, T x P-NS		

Table 3 Change in chemical composition of litchi squash during refrigerated storage (5-7°C)

Treatments	Total s	soluble so	lids (° B	rix)	Acidity	dity (%)			
	Storag	e period	(in mon	ths)	Storag	Storage period (in months)			
	0	3	6	9	0	3	6	9	
T_1	40.01	40.06	40.34	40.85	0.81	0.76	0.70	0.60	
T_2	40.02	40.14	41.94	41.26	0.85	0.82	0.78	0.75	
T_3	40.00	40.26	40.88	41.23	0.89	0.84	0.80	0.77	
T_4	45.00	45.24	45.56	45.78	0.86	0.80	0.74	0.63	
T_5	45.02	45.46	45.78	46.20	0.88	0.85	0.81	0.77	
T_6	45.01	45.54	45.70	46.10	0.89	0.86	0.82	0.76	
T_7	40.02	40.11	40.31	40.96	0.84	0.81	0.70	0.61	
T_8	40.00	40.42	40.65	41.25	0.90	0.86	0.83	0.76	
T_9	40.01	40.44	40.62	41.02	0.88	0.84	0.80	0.78	
T_{10}	45.02	45.200	45.65	46.00	0.86	0.81	0.71	0.64	
T_{11}	45.00	45.54	46.02	46.30	0.90	0.88	0.85	0.78	
T_{12}	45.01	45.48	40.95	46.21	0.89	0.87	0.84	0.77	
CD @ 5%	Tr 0.4	12, Period	l-0.21, T	x P-NS	Tr0.0	18, Period	l- 0.023, T	TXP-NS	

Table 4 Change in chemical composition of litchi squash during ambient storage (25-37°C)

Treatments	Ascorbio	c acid (mg/1	100ml)	Non enzymatic browning (OD unit)			
	Storage	period (in n	nonths)	Storage period (in months)			
	0	3	6	0	3	6	
T_1	15.63	10.33	07.33	0.048	0.055	0.106	
T_2	40.37	32.12	28.08	0.054	0.051	0.072	
T_3	60.06	50.20	40.42	0.043	0.050	0.070	
T_4	15.56	10.05	07.57	0.056	0.068	0.109	
T_5	40.50	34.10	28.56	0.053	0.060	0.075	
T_6	60.66	50.36	40.86	0.055	0.061	0.074	
T_7	19.37	13.12	08.96	0.056	0.066	0.112	
T_8	43.26	36.08	27.60	0.052	0.062	0.076	
T_9	66.66	55.20	45.00	0.054	0.061	0.077	
T_{10}	19.66	12.36	08.57	0.058	0.069	0.126	
T_{11}	44.12	36.33	29.22	0.055	0.060	0.079	
T_{12}	67.08	57.37	44.23	0.053	0.060	0.078	
CD @5%	Tr4.67	, Priod-2.52	2, T x P- NS	Tr0.016	6, Period-0.01	2, T x P-NS	

Table 5 Change in chemical composition of litchi squash during ambient storage (5-7°C)

Treatments	Ascorbi	c acid (mg/	(100ml)	Non enzy	Non enzymatic browning (OD unit)			
	Storage	period (in	months)	Storage period (in months)				
	0	3	6	0	3	6		
T_1	15.63	12.37	10.05	0.047	0.051	0.076		
T_2	40.37	36.43	29.69	0.053	0.055	0.068		
T_3	60.60	53.27	45.52	0.055	0.058	0.070		
T_4	15.56	12.44	10.08	0.055	0.060	0.082		
T_5	40.50	34.16	30.26	0.062	0.065	0.070		
T_6	60.66	51.55	46.92	0.060	0.063	0.073		
T_7	19.37	16.67	11.10	0.050	0.056	0.080		
T_8	43.26	33.18	26.08	0.052	0.055	0.069		
T_9	66.67	60.48	52.09	0.053	0.055	0.068		
T_{10}	19.68	16.16	11.69	0.058	0.066	0.085		
T_{11}	44.03	43.40	36.00	0.063	0.068	0.072		
T_{12}	67.08	60.56	51.67	0.064	0.070	0.074		
CD @5%	Tr4.07	, Period-1.7	8, T x P-NS	Tr0.013	, Period-0.015	5, T x P-NS		

Sensory Characteristics

The sensory parameters were significantly influenced by recipes over a period of storage (**Table 6**). The highest colour, taste, aroma and overall acceptability score was founded under T₁₁ (8.25, 8.00, 8.35 and 8.20) which is statistically at par with T₅, T₆, T8, T₉, T₁₂ while lowest score has been awarded to recipe T₁. The colour score decreased significantly during storage and more pronounced under ambient condition as compare to refrigerated condition. The browning of litchi squash might be due to oxidation and amino acid sugar interaction [10]. A decrease in colour score in plum squash was also observed due to co-polymerization, interaction between phenolic compounds and proteins as well as formation of cation complex with pectin [11]. The sensory score for aroma and taste was maximum (8.35 and 8.25) in litchi squash with 30% juice + 40-45 °B + 25mg ascorbic acid/ 100 ml. Similarly higher level of juice and addition of ascorbic acid had highly significant effect on overall acceptability of litchi squash even after six months of storage. In storage all sensory parameters were found in decreasing trend which might be due to loss of volatile aromatic compounds responsible for aroma, loss of sugar-acid blend responsible for taste. There was decrease in the overall acceptability of litchi squash but acceptable score up to six months of storage which might be attributed due to loss in colour, taste, aroma and appearance of the product. These results are in conformity with the findings of Prasad and Mali [12] in pomegranate, Sethi [13] in litchi and Thakur et al. [14] in aonla squash.

Table 6 Sensory characteristics of litchi squash after storage

Treatments	Ambien	t conditi	ion (After	6 months)	Refrigerated condition (After 9 months)				
	Colour	Taste	Aroma	Overall	Colour	Taste	Aroma	Overall	
				acceptability				acceptability	
T_1	5,85	6.65	6.50	6.15	5,65	6.55	6.40	6.05	
T_2	7.65	7.20	7.40	7.35	7.45	7.00	7.35	7.15	
T_3	7.80	7.30	7.50	7.40	7.70	7.20	7.40	7.30	
T_4	6.15	7.00	7.10	6.50	6.05	7.00	7.00	6.35	
T_5	7.95	7.80	7.65	7.70	7.75	7.65	7.50	7.55	
T_6	8.00	7.75	7.65	7.75	7.90	7.65	7.50	7.70	
T_7	6.30	7.15	7.20	6.55	6.00	7.15	7.05	6.50	
T_8	8.15	7.95	8.10	7.85	8.00	7.75	8.00	7.80	
T_9	8.05	7.90	8.05	7.90	7.85	7.80	7.95	7.80	
T_{10}	6.45	7.05	7.05	6.55	6.20	7.05	7.00	6.45	
T_{11}	8.25	8.00	8.35	8.20	8.00	7.90	8.25	8.00	
T_{12}	8.10	7.90	7.90	8.10	7.90	7.80	7.90	8.00	
CD at 5%	0.32	0.41	0.36	0.36	0.30	0.39	0.40	0.28	

Conclusion

The results revealed that litchi squash was found to be stable for a period of six and nine months at ambient and refrigerated conditions respectively with respect to bio-chemical parameters and sensory characters. The recipe with 30% juice/ pulp, 40 to 45 °B TSS and 25 mg ascorbic acid / 100ml was found best on the basis of all the sensory and physic-chemical parameters. Addition of ascorbic acid has been found to check browning during storage.

References

- [1] Menzel, C and D.R. Simpson.1989. The lychee nutrition story. Proc. Second National Lychee Seminar, Cairns, Australia,p 49-58.
- [2] Nath, V, ad purbey, S. K. Litchi. In Managing Postharvest Quality and Losses in Horticultural Crops. K. L. Chadha and R. K. Pal (eds.) Daya Publishing House, New Delhi, 2015. P 441-460.
- [3] Singh, G., Nath, V., Purbey, S. K., Singh, S. K., and Pal, R. K. Post Harvest Handling and valorizations of Litchi Fruits, FAO Bulletin, Published by National Research Centre on Litchi, Muzaffarpur, Bihar, 2012. P1-30
- [4] Ranganna, S. Handbook of analysis and quality control for fruit and vegetable products (2nd edition). Tata McGraw Hill, New Delhi, 2009, p1112.
- [5] Amerine, M. D., Pangborn, R. M. and Roesseler, E. B. Princples of sensory evaluation of foods, Academi Press: London, 1965, p236-268.
- [6] Relekar, P. P., Naik, A. G. and Padhiar, B. V. 2013. International Journal of Hort. 3(6):22-27.
- [7] Shwetha, M. S. and Ranganna, B. 2018. International journal of Chemical Studies, 6(1):966-970.

- [8] Thakur, N. S., Dhaygude, G. S., Thakur, A., Kumar, P. and Hamid. 2018. International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management, 9 (1):007-012.
- [9] Srinivas, K. N., Revanna, M. L., and Jamuna, K. V. 2007. Journal of Dairy Food and Home Scⁱiiience, 26 (2): 133-136.
- [10] Saikia, L. and Saikia, J. 2002. Journal of Food Science and Technology, 39(2):149-151.
- [11] Barwal, V. S., Sharma R., and Lal, B. B. 2002. Journal of Food Science and Technology, 39(4):413-417.
- [12] Prasad, R. N. and mali, P. C. 2000. Indian journal of horticulture, 57:18-20.
- [13] Sethi, V. 1993. Indian Journal of Horticulture, 50 (4):327-332.
- [14] Thakur, N. S., Thakur, N., Thakur, A., Hamid, and Kumar, P. 2018. Chemical Science Review and Letters, 7(25):310-36.

© 2019, by the Authors. The articles published from this journal are distributed
to the public under "Creative Commons Attribution License" (http://creative
commons.org/licenses/by/3.0/). Therefore, upon proper citation of the original
work, all the articles can be used without any restriction or can be distributed in
any medium in any form. For more information visit www.chesci.com.

Publication History						
Received	04.09.2019					
Revised	12.11.2019					
Accepted	13.11.2019					
Online	30.11.2019					