

Research Article

Bio-Efficacy of Chemical Insecticides and Organic Pesticide for Management of Termites in Wheat

Manish Kumar*, Neerja Patel, Moni Singh and Ankita Pandey

Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Dewas-455111 (M.P.)

Abstract

Termites *Microtermes obesi* (Holmgren) and *Odontotermes obesus* (Rambur) are a major problem in wheat, chickpea and maize crops in the Malwa Plateau zone. It's extremely difficult to manage in rainfed condition. To provide an eco-friendly alternative for termite's management, we conducted location specific trial in the adopted villages of Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Dewas (M.P.) during 2013 and 2014 to find out the bio-efficacy of chemical Insecticides and organic pesticides for effective management of termites. The soil application of neem cake @ 2.5q/ha alongwith seed treatment with chlorpyriphos 20EC@ 6ml/ Kg of seed was found significantly lowest per cent of plants damage due to incidence of termites and maximum germination followed by fipronil 0.3% GR @ 25 kg/ha and chlorpyriphos 20EC@ 6ml/ Kg of seed . Grain yield was significantly higher in treated plots than control. Among the treatments, soil application of neem cake @ 2.5q/ha + chlorpyriphos 20EC@ 6ml/ Kg of seed plot recorded significantly higher grain yield being at par with each other.

Moreover, the highest I.C.B.R. was obtained in seed treatment of chlorpyriphos 20EC@ 6ml/ Kg of seed followed by neem cake @ 2.5q/ha + chlorpyriphos 20EC@ 6ml/ Kg of seed and fipronil 0.3% GR @ 25 kg/ha

Keywords: Termites, seed treatments, chlorpyriphos, fipronil, neem cake, wheat

*Correspondence

Author: Manish Kumar

Email: manishsachandewas@gmail.com

Introduction

Termites, *Odontotermes obesus* (Rambur) and *Microtermes obesi* are one of the most destructive polyphagous insect-pests of wheat and difficult to manage in loamy and sandy loam soils [1, 2]. Its attacks throughout the crop growth by feeding on roots or the root zone, the damage caused during seedling stage and near maturity results in yield losses to the tune of 60%. Termite incidence is usually high in light textured soils and the affected plant finally dies. Sharma [3] estimated the termite losses to wheat crop varying from 0 to 15 percent in western Rajasthan. At present, insecticides are the mainstay for termite management strategies in crops and urban areas in India and the world [1, 2]. Termites are extremely difficult to manage, and chemical pesticides are the mainstay for the management of these insect-pests at present [4]. Therefore, an attempt was made to study the bio-efficacy of chemical insecticides and organic pesticide for management of termites in wheat.

Materials and Methods

The field trials were carried out in farmer's field at adopted villages of Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Dewas district of Madhya Pradesh during 2013 and 2014. Four treatments for management of termites were applied as- Treatment 1- soil application of fipronil 0.3% GR @ 25 kg/ha(T₁) ,Treatment 2- soil application of neem cake @ 2.5q/ha along with seed treatment with chlorpyriphos 20EC@ 6ml/ Kg of seed(T₂) , Treatment 3- seed treatment with chlorpyriphos 20EC@ 6ml/ Kg of seed (T₃) and Treatment 4 (Farmer Practices) Farmers were not applied any insecticides as they used as check(T₄). The experiment was conducted at ten farmers in the area of 1000 sq m per farmers. The crop variety Lok-1 was sown in the first half of November and the harvesting was done in the month of March. Standard Appropriate agronomic practices were followed throughout the season to raise a good crop. Observation were recorded on germination percentage sowing after a week, total plant population and affected plants from 2 row of 2 m each selected at random from each replication of a treatment were recorded places on one month days after sowing (DAS) and % damaged plants for each treatment was calculated.. Final yield in q/ha was determined. The data recorded were subjected to statistical analysis using analysis of variance technique (ANOVA) for randomized block design as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme [5].

Results and discussion

On the basis of analyzed data all the treatments had significantly higher germination and low plant damage as compared to control (**Table 1**). The Germination was significantly increased in T₂ (80.70% and 82.39%) followed by T₁ (78.90% and 80.26%), T₃ (75.90% and 77.56%) while it was decreased 73.90% and 75.09% in control (T₄) during both the year, respectively. The minimum plant damage were 2.58% and 3.65% (T₂) followed by 2.91% and 4.83% (T₁), 3.37% and 5.42% (T₃) against maximum 4.37% and 7.25% in control (T₄) at the one month after sowing during 2013-14 and 2014-15, respectively. At the time of harvest, the plant damage due to termites was recorded minimum 3.65% & 3.77% in treatment T₂ followed by 4.83% & 5.32% (T₁) and 5.42% & 6.47% (T₃) against maximum 7.25% & 8.03% in control (T₄), respectively during both the years (Table 1). Thus, overall results revealed that the Soil application with neem cake @ 2.5 q/ha along with seed treatment with chlorpyrifos @ 6ml/ Kg of seed (T₂) was most effective in reduced 3.21% plant damage and increased 81.55% germination. The second best treatment among the chemical insecticides was recorded the Soil application of fipronil 0.3% GR @ 25 kg/ha (T₁) with higher germination of 79.58% and least plant damage of 4.05% followed by Seed treatment with chlorpyrifos 20EC @ 6ml/Kg of seed (T₃) of 76.72% and 4.80%, respectively. Similar results obtained by Kumawat [6] used different insecticides to control termites attacking wheat crop and found significant control with chlorpyrifos followed endosulfan. Flpronil 0.3% GR @ 25 kg/ha was found reduce damage and maximum germination reported by Singh *et al.* [7]. Tomar [8] also found fipronil 0.3% most effective in termite management in wheat. Seed treatment with chlorpyrifos, endosulfan and monocrotophos as effective control measures against termites in chick pea [9, 10]. Jaipal and Singh [11] was recorded that imidacloprid and a neem based biopesticide Amrutguard gave better results for termites control which supported the present study.

Table 1 Effect of chemical insecticides and organic pesticide for management of termites in Wheat

| Tr No | Details of Treatment(s) | Germination (%) | | | Plants damage (%) | | | | Grain yield (q/ha) | | | |
|----------------|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------|---------|-------|
| | | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | Mean | One month after sowing | | At the time of harvesting | | Pooled mean | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | Mean |
| | | | | | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | | | | |
| T ₁ | Soil application of Fipronil 0.3% GR @ 25 kg/ha | 78.90 (62.68) | 80.26 (63.67) | 79.58 (63.15) | 2.91 (9.76) | 3.14 (10.09) | 4.83 (12.54) | 5.32 (13.27) | 4.05 (11.58) | 40.45 | 43.92 | 42.18 |
| T ₂ | Soil application of neem cake @ 2.5 q/ha along with seed treatment with chlorpyrifos 20 EC @ 6 ml/ Kg of seed | 80.70 (63.99) | 82.39 (65.28) | 81.55 (64.60) | 2.58 (9.20) | 2.84 (9.59) | 3.65 (10.89) | 3.77 (11.13) | 3.21 (10.28) | 41.92 | 45.97 | 43.95 |
| T ₃ | Seed treatment with chlorpyrifos 20EC @ 6ml/ Kg of seed | 75.90 (60.63) | 77.56 (61.74) | 76.72 (61.17) | 3.37 (10.56) | 3.92 (11.35) | 5.42 (13.39) | 6.47 (14.68) | 4.80 (12.63) | 38.60 | 41.25 | 39.93 |
| T ₄ | Control (FP) | 73.90 (59.31) | 75.09 (60.07) | 74.49 (59.67) | 4.37 (11.94) | 6.68 (14.93) | 7.25 (15.47) | 8.03 (16.41) | 6.58 (14.83) | 35.73 | 39.61 | 37.67 |
| | SE(m) ± | 0.55 | 0.52 | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.39 | 0.64 | 0.53 | 0.26 | 0.89 | 0.69 | - |
| | CD (p=0.05) | 1.12 | 1.057 | 0.92 | 0.87 | 0.81 | 1.31 | 1.08 | 0.53 | 1.83 | 1.41 | NS |

*Figures in parentheses are arc sine transformed values

All the insecticidal treated plots had significantly higher grain yield than control and varied from 39.93 to 43.95 q/ha as against 37.67 q/ha in control (**Table 2**). The T₂ plot was recorded significantly highest yield (41.92 & 45.97 q/ha) followed by T₁ (40.45 & 43.92 q/ha) and T₃ (38.60 & 41.25 q/ha) during both the years, respectively. Highest additional yield over control was obtained in T₂ (6.19 and 6.36 q/ha) followed by T₁ (4.72 and 4.31 q/ha) and lowest in case of T₃ (2.87 and 1.64 q/ha). This is in accordance with Panigrahi [12] was reported that imidacloprid 10 ml/ kg, 7ml/kg; chlorpyrifos 10ml/kg and endosulfan 10 ml/kg seed resulted in significantly lower plant damage and higher grain yield.

The economics of the treatment revealed (Table 2) that the maximum net gain was obtained with treatment T₂ (Rs. 7285 and 7540 per ha) followed by the T₁ (Rs. 7285 and 7540 per ha) and T₃ (Rs. 3825 and 1980 per ha).

Moreover, the highest incremental Cost Benefit Ratio (ICBR) was obtained with seed treated with chlorpyrifos (1:8.97 and 1:5.13) followed by neem cake alongwith seed treatment with chlorpyrifos (1:4.64 and 1:4.77) and soil application of fipronil (1:4.29 and 1:3.92) due low cost of protection during both the years, respectively. The above findings are in conformity with the findings of Kumawat [6] reported that seed treatment with chlorpyrifos followed endosulfan has increased yield and C: B ratio.

Table 2 Economics of treatments against management of termites (2013-14 & 2014-15)

| Tr. No. | Treatment | Grain yield (q/ha) | | Increased yield over control (q/ha) | | Additional benefit over control (Rs./ha) | | Cost of Treatment (Rs./ha) | Net Gain (Rs./ha) | | ICBR | |
|----------------|---|--------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|---------|--|---------|----------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 |
| T ₁ | Soil application of Fipronil 0.3% GR @ 25 kg/ha | 40.45 | 43.92 | 4.72 | 4.31 | 7080 | 6465 | 1650 | 5430 | 4815 | 1:4.29 | 1:3.92 |
| T ₂ | Soil application of neem cake @ 2.5 q/ha alongwith seed treatment with chlorpyrifos@ 6 ml/ Kg of seed | 41.92 | 45.97 | 6.19 | 6.36 | 9285 | 9540 | 2000 | 7285 | 7540 | 1:4.64 | 1:4.77 |
| T ₃ | Seed treatment with chlorpyrifos 20EC @ 6ml/ Kg of seed | 38.60 | 41.25 | 2.87 | 1.64 | 4305 | 2460 | 480 | 3825 | 1980 | 1:8.97 | 1:5.13 |
| T ₄ | Control (FP) | 35.73 | 39.61 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Conclusion

It can be concluded that location specific soil application of neem cake along with seed treatment with chlorpyrifos afforded excellent control of termites infestation in wheat with higher grain yield. It was comparatively safer to natural enemies, soil flora & fauna in wheat. Hence, this treatment may be recommended for the wheat growers in Malwa Plateau Zone.

References

- [1] C. Peterson, T.L. Wagner, J.E. Mulrooney, and T.G. Shelton, Subterranean Termites-Their Prevention and Control in Buildings. USDA Home and Garden Bulletin. 2006, 64.
- [2] M.F. Potter, Termites -In-Handbook of Pest Control: the behavior, life history, and control of household pests, 10th ed. A. Mallis, S.A. Hedges & D. Moreland (Eds). Cleveland: GIE Media Inc. 2011.
- [3] S.K. Sharma, Annual Progress Report for 1966-67 on termite infesting field crops and fruit trees in Rajasthan. Jodhpur (Rajasthan Deptt. of Agric.). 1967, 5.
- [4] K. S. Rathour, A. S. Ganguly, T.K. Das, P. Singh, A. Kumar and V.S. Somvanshi, Biological management of subterranean termites (*Odontotermes obesus*) infesting wheat and pearl millet crops by entomopathogenic nematodes. Indian Journal of Nematology. 2014, 44 (1), 97-100.
- [5] V.G. Panse and P.V. Sukhatme, Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi. 1985, 102.
- [6] K.C. Kumawat, Evaluation of some insecticides against field termite in wheat. Annals of Protection Science. 2001, 9, 51-53.
- [7] G.Singh, C.S. Prasad, N.S. Rana, Ashok Kumar, Arvind Kumar and Nawab Ali, Field evaluation of chemical insecticides and bio-pesticides against termites in sugarcane. Progressive Agriculture. 2010, 10, 124-126.
- [8] S.P.S. Tomar, Efficacy of insecticides against termite in wheat under semi-irrigated farming system. Annals of Protection Science. 2013, 21, 186-187.
- [9] O.P. Choudhary and S.S. Dashad, A cultivar's sensitivity to insecticides for control of termites in chick pea. Annals of Protection Science. 2002, 10, 148-50.
- [10] H.K. Cheema and B.S. Kooner, Seed treatment with chloropyrifos and endosulfan for the control of termites in chickpea. Journal of Insect Science. 2009, 22, 315-318.

- [11] Saroj Jaipal and Dharam Singh, Bio-efficacy of imiddacloprid and amrutguard against termites and shoot borer in sugarcane. *Indian Sugar*. 2003, 53, 709-716.
- [12] D. Panigrahi, Chemical seed treatment for management of termites in chick pea. *Annals of Protection Science*. 2010, 18, 90-93.

© 2017, by the Authors. The articles published from this journal are distributed to the public under “**Creative Commons Attribution License**” (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>). Therefore, upon proper citation of the original work, all the articles can be used without any restriction or can be distributed in any medium in any form.

Publication History

Received 12th Sep 2017
Revised 27th Sep 2017
Accepted 05th Oct 2017
Online 30th Oct 2017